Research Open

Psychology Journal: Research Open
Volume 7 Issue 6

Research Article

The Development of Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) in Youth: A Review of Risk, Protective, and Ameliorating Factors

Devin Isaac Byers and Scott Bellini*

Indiana University, Bloomington

Corresponding author: Scott Bellini, Ph.D., Indiana University, Bloomington

Received: December 09, 2025; **Accepted:** December 16, 2025; **Published:** December 23, 2025

Abstract

Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) is a disruptive behavioral condition characterized by persistent patterns of angry, irritable mood, argumentative behavior, and vindictiveness (APA, 2022). This comprehensive review explores the diagnostic criteria, prevalence, and developmental trajectory of ODD in youth, with a focus on the interplay of risk, protective, and ameliorating factors. The article examines the distinctions between medical and educational diagnoses, including the implications of DSM-5-TR and special education law in the United States (IDEIA). It highlights the disorder's comorbidity with ADHD, mood disorders, and conduct disorder, and discusses how cultural, gender, and socioeconomic factors influence diagnosis and symptom presentation. The review also delves into dispositional, genetic, cognitive, neurobiological, and environmental risk factors, emphasizing the importance of early intervention and strong familial and peer relationships as protective mechanisms. Evidence-based treatments are presented as effective strategies for managing ODD symptoms. The article underscores the critical role of educators and psychologists in identifying, evaluating, and supporting students with ODD through informed assessment and intervention practices.

Oppositional Defiant Disorder

Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) is a complex externalizing behavioral condition characterized by persistent patterns of angry or irritable mood, argumentative and defiant behavior, and vindictiveness in children and adolescents [1]. Understanding ODD requires a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach that considers its diagnostic criteria, prevalence, and developmental trajectory, as well as the interplay of dispositional, genetic, cognitive, neurobiological, and environmental risk factors. In clinical settings, psychiatrists and licensed psychologists typically provide a medical diagnosis of ODD, while in educational contexts, school psychologists, particularly those trained in clinical assessment and diagnostic frameworks, are responsible for evaluating students for special education eligibility under the classification of emotional disability (ED) [2]. This review will provide a comprehensive overview of ODD and present the developmental trajectory of risk and protective factors for youth with ODD. The article will also explore the distinctions between medical and educational diagnoses, with particular attention to the implications of DSM-5-TR criteria and special education law in the United States (IDEIA). The article will examine how comorbid conditions such as ADHD, mood disorders, and conduct disorder complicate both identification and intervention. Additionally, it will highlight how cultural, gender, and socioeconomic factors influence symptom presentation and diagnostic outcomes, and provide a review of effective early intervention and evidence-based treatments, such as Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT) and Teacher-Child Interaction Training (TCIT), in equipping educators and psychologists to support youth with ODD effectively.

Overview of ODD

Diagnostic Features and Criteria

Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) poses distinct challenges across both healthcare and educational systems. In clinical settings, professionals use standardized diagnostic tools to identify and manage the disorder. In contrast, educators must assess whether a student's behavioral patterns meet the criteria for special education services. It's important to distinguish between a clinical diagnosis and an educational classification: the DSM-5-TR provides the framework for diagnosing ODD in medical contexts, while eligibility for school-based support is determined by federal legislation such as the Youth with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA) and state-specific guidelines like Indiana's Article 7. Together, these systems help ensure that children with ODD receive comprehensive support that addresses both their behavioral health and academic needs.

DSM-5-TR

According to DSM-5-TR, ODD is characterized by a persistent pattern of angry/irritable mood, argumentative/defiant behavior, or vindictiveness lasting at least six months (APA, 2022). Diagnosis requires the presence of at least four symptoms from these categories, exhibited during interactions with at least one individual who is not a sibling). Regarding angry/irritable mood, an individual may

experience symptoms where they often lose their temper, are touchy or easily annoyed, and/or are often angry and resentful. An individual may also experience symptoms of argumentative/defiant behavior where they often argue with authority figures, defy or refuse to comply with requests from authority figures or with rules, deliberately annoy others, and/or often blame others for their mistakes or misbehavior. The individual may have also been spiteful or vindictive at least twice within the past six months. The disturbance in behavior must be negatively impacting their social, educational, occupational, or other important areas of functioning, and the behaviors cannot be better explained by other mental health conditions (e.g., psychotic, substance use, depressive, or bipolar disorder). Finally, the DSM-5-TR includes three specifiers: mild (symptoms are confined to one setting); moderate (symptoms are present in at least two settings); and severe (symptoms are present in three or more settings).

IDEIA and State Laws

Youth with ODD, and disruptive behaviors, are often considered for special education services under the classification of Emotional Disorder (ED). *IDEIA*, the federal law that governs special education in the United States, broadly defines ED as a condition that exhibits at least one of the provided characteristics for a long period of time and to a marked degree that adversely affects a child's educational performance [3]. Characteristics include: an inability to learn that cannot be explained by intellectual, sensory, or health factors; an inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with peers and teachers; inappropriate types of behavior or feelings under normal circumstances; a general pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression; and/or a tendency to develop physical symptoms or fears associated with personal or school problems. While IDEIA provides a general overview of ED, it does not provide guidelines for how to evaluate the category.

Although IDEIA outlines the general criteria for ED, it does not provide detailed procedures for how to assess or determine eligibility. Each state in America is responsible for developing its own evaluation standards. For instance, in the state of Indiana, Article 7 serves as the state's special education framework and builds upon the federal definition by adding further clarification. Indiana's criteria include episodes of psychosis and emphasize the need for a comprehensive evaluation process. This process must include assessments of academic achievement and emotional functioning, a thorough developmental and social history, a functional behavior assessment, and relevant medical or psychological information. The goal is to ensure that the student's learning challenges are not better explained by other factors such as cognitive or sensory impairments [4].

Prevalence

While there are limited population- or national-level data on the prevalence of Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) in the United States, current estimates suggest a prevalence rate of approximately 3.3%, with most community samples ranging from 3% to 6% [5]. This variability may be influenced by differences in diagnostic practices, sample demographics, and access to mental health services across regions. Boys are slightly more likely than girls to develop and be diagnosed with ODD during childhood, possibly due to more overt behavioral symptoms that draw attention in educational and clinical settings. However, research indicates that gender differences in prevalence tend to diminish during adolescence and adulthood, with similar rates observed across sexes. These findings highlight the importance of early identification and culturally sensitive assessment practices to ensure accurate diagnosis and appropriate intervention.

Comorbidity

Youth with ODD are at risk of having internalizing and externalizing comorbidity. Youth with ODD have higher rates of comorbidity with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and mood and anxiety disorders [6,7]. Youth who develop ODD during childhood and adolescence are at an increased risk for developing conduct disorder (CD) and/or antisocial personality disorder (APD). There is also evidence of comorbidity between posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and ODD [8]. Youth with ODD are also more likely to use tobacco, alcohol, and drugs [9].

Factors Associated with ODD

Cultural Factors

Cultural differences in the diagnosis of Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) may stem from misdiagnosis or overdiagnosis among youth from marginalized backgrounds. African American youth, for example, have been reported to exhibit higher rates of defiant behaviors and conduct problems in some research samples [10,11]. In special education settings, Black males are disproportionately identified as having Emotional Disturbance (ED), often due to elevated reports of behavioral concerns compared to their white peers—even when the actual intensity and frequency of behaviors are similar across racial groups. This overrepresentation may reflect systemic biases in behavioral interpretation and referral practices rather than true differences in symptom severity. As a result, culturally responsive assessment and intervention practices are essential to ensure accurate identification and equitable support for students with behavioral challenges.

Gender Differences

While the prevalence of ODD is similar among boys and girls during adolescence and adulthood, boys and girls may present differently in symptomology, severity, and associated comorbidity [12]. Boys may be found to experience symptoms that include annoying others, blaming others, being aggressive, and they may present with greater functional impairments at school and in the community than girls. Girls may demonstrate less observable oppositional characteristics, including more relational aggression (e.g., refusing to talk to someone, being malicious, avoiding blaming, spreading rumors, attempting to harm someone's relationships with others, etc.). Additionally, boys may be more likely to have externalizing comorbidity (e.g., ADHD) while girls may be more likely to have internalizing comorbidity due to significantly diminished self-regulation skills compared to boys with ODD (e.g., anxiety, depression, and somatic complaints) [13].

Socioeconomic Differences

Children and adolescents from low-income households are

more frequently identified as exhibiting symptoms associated with Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) [14]. Environmental stressors common in economically disadvantaged neighborhoods, such as exposure to community violence, can contribute to the development or intensification of oppositional behaviors. Limited access to mental health resources and safe recreational spaces may further exacerbate these challenges. Additionally, lower levels of parental education are often linked to inconsistent or harsh disciplinary practices, which can influence the emergence of ODD symptoms. Factors such as food insecurity, chronic stress, and reduced access to supportive peer networks also play a role in shaping behavioral outcomes in these populations.

Developmental Pathway of ODD

Overview of Developmental Course

The first symptoms of ODD are typically present as early as preschool but rarely later than early adolescence. If left untreated, the severity of the symptoms could gradually escalate to the development of Conduct Disorder (CD) and even to the severity level of Anti-social Personality Disorder (APD) [15]. A typical developmental progression of disruptive behavior may begin with severe hyperactivity and impulsivity in early childhood, followed by the emergence of ODD symptoms during the preschool years. As the child enters elementary school, these behaviors may intensify and evolve into conduct disorder. During adolescence, the individual may begin to engage in substance-related issues, and if left untreated, these patterns can culminate in antisocial personality disorder in adulthood. Children and adolescents with ODD may experience a variety of problems in adulthood, including relational problems, lower educational attainment, and workplace stress. School psychologists and other providers should be familiar with the risk factors and the developmental trajectory of ODD when evaluating and designing interventions for students with ODD.

Dispositional Risk Factors

Emotional/Temperamental Factors

Numerous emotional risk factors have been associated with the development of ODD. Children who experience difficulties regulating their emotions are more likely to exhibit irritability and vindictiveness [16]. For example, children who have higher levels of emotional reactivity and/or have a low frustration tolerance are at risk for developing ODD. Children and adolescents who have low self-control due to ADHD may also possess issues with emotional regulation making them vulnerable to developing comorbid ODD. Callous-unemotional traits (CU), a cluster of traits of psychopathic youth that include a lack of empathy and indifference toward the feelings of others, have been seen in children and adolescents whose ODD symptoms begin to exacerbate to CD or APD. Youth who have CU traits likely have characteristics of neuroticism that cause them to demonstrate lower levels of fear and anxiety – also increasing the likelihood of their symptoms exacerbating.

Genetic Factors

The heritability estimate for ODD is around 50%. Significant levels of CU traits may be a result of genetic influences (e.g., excess methylation in the *OXTR* gene predisposes adolescents to CU) [17]. *AVPR1A*, a gene located on chromosome 2 that plays a significant role in social behavior and interaction, may be associated with aggression in early and middle childhood. Epigenetic research demonstrates that both environmental influences and additive genetic effects, where multiple genes contribute to a single trait, may be involved in the development of Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD). Through gene-environment interactions, environmental exposures can either enhance or suppress the expression of genetic predispositions, thereby influencing the likelihood that ODD-related traits will manifest.

Cognitive Vulnerabilities

Youth with ODD may show cognitive deficits in executive functioning (EF) and low verbal intelligence, especially if they possess CU traits. The classification of EF into 'hot' and 'cool' is a critical element of etiopathological research on externalizing disorders. "Hot" EF involves affective, motivational, and emotional aspects of cognition, whereas "cool" EF focuses on planning, cognitive flexibility, working memory, and inhibition. Children with ODD may have characteristics of greater reward-seeking behaviors and problems with emotional self-control [18]. Regarding "cool" EF, children with ODD have lower behavioral inhibition, which mixed with poor emotional control may exacerbate impulse aggression. School-referred children with disruptive behavior symptoms are associated with poor motivational and cognitive control (also referred to as *executive control*), and they may be incapable of cognitively processing the negative consequences of their victim's distress.

Neurobiological Factors

Children with ODD may have neurobiological abnormalities in the amygdala and prefrontal cortex – areas responsible for reasoning, judgment, impulse control, and emotional processing. More specifically, reduction in the left amygdala, anterior insula, frontal gyrus, cingulate cortex, and/or medial prefrontal cortex might be associated with ODD. Brain regions such as the amygdala, anterior cingulate cortex, insula, and orbitofrontal cortex are primarily involved in emotional or "hot" executive functions, while the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and cerebellum are more associated with logical, "cool" executive functions and areas, such as the precuneus, control both types of functioning. Additionally, heart rate, serotonin levels, and basal cortisol levels are often reduced in adolescents with aggressive behaviors.

Environmental Risk Factors

Familial Factors

A variety of family risk factors, including low socioeconomic status (SES), parental separation, and maternal depression, have been associated with the development of ODD. Aside from parental pathology, other family factors that could lead to symptoms of childhood/adolescent-onset of ODD include exposure to poor disciplinary practices (e.g., forms of hostility or aggression), maltreatment and neglect (e.g., sexual, physical, or psychological abuse), single parenthood, and family disharmony (e.g., argumentative parents). A combination of surrounding oneself with deviant peers and having poor parental supervision with low involvement are predictors

for adolescents to engage in rebellious and/or defiant behaviors. Children who are exposed to high levels of dysfunctional parenting and maternal depression are also at a higher risk of developing symptoms of defiant and antisocial behaviors. Finally, children who are frequently emotionally dysregulated are at risk of experiencing higher child-parent conflict that could enhance ODD symptomology.

Interpersonal Vulnerabilities

Children and adolescents often face a range of interpersonal challenges, particularly when it comes to initiating and sustaining positive relationships with peers. Those who are consistently rejected by their peers—such as being disliked or excluded—and those who associate with deviant or antisocial peer groups are at increased risk for developing oppositional defiant disorder (ODD). In some cases, youth with ODD may engage in bullying behaviors themselves, contributing to a hostile social environment. However, these individuals may also be targets of bullying, which can intensify symptoms of vindictiveness, especially when they feel compelled to retaliate against those who have harmed them. This cycle of aggression and retaliation can further complicate their social interactions and emotional regulation, reinforcing the behavioral patterns associated with ODD.

Early Adverse Experience

There is a relationship between childhood externalizing problems, including ODD, and exposure to traumatic events. Interpersonal trauma, referring to harmful experiences directly inflicted by another person (e.g., physical abuse, emotional neglect, witnessing domestic violence, etc.), and non-interpersonal trauma, referring to events not involving direct human interaction (e.g., severe accident, natural disaster, loss of a loved one, etc.), are predictive of ODD symptomology in boys, while only interpersonal trauma is a predictor in girls. Children who experience interpersonal trauma are more likely to develop problems with anger, emotional regulation, and disruptive behavior. Children and adolescents who are exposed to community violence in impoverished neighborhoods have an increased risk of developing antisocial attitudes and behaviors.

Protective Factors

There are a variety of protective factors that reduce the likelihood of developing or worsening symptoms of Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD). Early intervention is especially important, as it can prevent ODD symptoms from escalating into more severe conditions such as Conduct Disorder (CD) or Antisocial Personality Disorder (APD). One key protective factor is the presence of high-quality relationships, both within the family and among peers. These relationships are often supported by living in safe neighborhoods, having strong family support systems, and being surrounded by prosocial peers who model positive behavior. Additionally, strong executive functioning and emotional regulation skills serve as internal protective mechanisms that help children manage impulses and navigate social challenges more effectively.

Treatment of ODD

Clinical Treatment

Because parental factors are highly associated with ODD, clinical treatments should consider strengthening the relationships between caregivers and their children. Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT) is an evidence-based therapeutic technique originally developed for children with disruptive behaviors that focuses on strengthening familial relationships by altering parent-child interactions [19]. PCIT involves having a parent and child together in a playroom while a therapist remains on the other side of a one-sided mirror where they coach the parent (by talking to them through a headset of some form) on how to positively interact and build a healthy rapport with their child. This verbal rapport is typically developed through praise, positive reinforcement, and overall parental involvement by the parent. If implemented with fidelity, PCIT is an effective treatment for decreasing ODD symptoms and preventing the development of CD by helping parents quit certain behaviors (e.g., unhealthy discipline responses) while starting other behaviors (e.g., more parental involvement) [20].

School Intervention

Similarly, school psychologists who possess the appropriate training should consider facilitating Teacher-Child Interaction Training (TCIT) with the teacher and student. In general, TCIT is a "classroom-based program designed to provide teachers with behavior management skills that foster positive teacher-student relations and to improve student behavior by creating a more constructive classroom environment" [21]. In general, there are teaching sessions that encompass learning about positive reinforcement through praise, modeling, and various classroom management strategies to decrease disruptive behaviors [22,23]. These sessions typically involve the clinician, teacher, and child, and it may also require the teacher to practice their skills in small and large group settings.

Conclusion

This review article explored Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) in youth, emphasizing its diagnostic criteria, prevalence, developmental trajectory, and associated risk and protective factors. The article distinguishes between clinical and educational diagnoses in the United States, highlighting the roles of DSM-5-TR and IDEIA in shaping assessment and intervention practices. It identifies a range of dispositional, genetic, cognitive, neurobiological, and environmental risk factors that contribute to the onset and progression of ODD, while also underscoring the importance of early intervention and facilitating strong interpersonal relationships as protective mechanisms. Evidence-based treatments such as Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT) and Teacher-Child Interaction Training (TCIT) are presented as effective strategies for managing symptoms and preventing escalation into more severe disorders like Conduct Disorder (CD) or Antisocial Personality Disorder (APD). Psychologists, therapists, and educators should possess a deep understanding of the psychopathology underlying Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) and be equipped with evidence-based strategies to support affected students. This includes conducting informed evaluations, implementing targeted interventions, and fostering collaborative efforts among school staff and families to address behavioral and emotional challenges effectively.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association [APA]. (2022) Disruptive, impulse-control, and conduct disorders.
2. Tobin RM,House AE. (2016) *DSM-5 diagnosis in the schools*. *The Guilford Press*
3. U.S. Department of Education. (2004) *Youth with Disabilities Education Act*.
4. Indiana State Board of Education. (2024) Special Education Rules. *Article 7*.
5. Aggarwal A,Marwaha R. (2024) *Oppositional defiant disorder*. StatPearls – NCBI Bookshelf.
6. Boat TF, Wu J T, Disorders C. T. E. T. S. S. I. D. P. F. C. W. M., Families, B. O. C. Y. A., &Education, D. O. B. a. S. S. A. (2015) *Prevalence of oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder*. Mental Disorders and Disabilities Among Low-Income Children – NCBI
7. Halldorsdottir T, Fraire MG, Drabick, D AG, Ollendick TH. (2023) Co-occurring conduct problems and anxiety: Implications for the functioning and treatment of youth with oppositional defiant disorder. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 20: 3405
8. Mikolajewski AJ,Scheeringa MS. (2022) Links between Oppositional Defiant Disorder Dimensions, Psychophysiology, and Interpersonal versus Non-interpersonal Trauma. *Journal of psychopathology and behavioral assessment* 44: 261-275.
9. Leadbeater BJ, Merrin GJ, Contreras A,Ames ME. (2023) Trajectories of oppositional defiant disorder severity from adolescence to young adulthood and substance use, mental health, and behavioral problems. *Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 32: 224-235
10. Mash EJ,Barkley RA. (Eds.) (2014) *Child psychopathology* (3rd ed.) New York: Guilford Press. (ISBN: 978-1-4625-1668-1)
11. Lambert MC, Katsiyannis A, Epstein MH,Cullinan D. (2022) An Initial Study of the Emotional and Behavioral Characteristics of Black Students School Identified as Emotionally Disturbed. *Behavioral Disorders* 47: 108 117.
12. Trepat E, Ezpeleta L. (2011) Sex differences in oppositional defiant disorder. *Psicothema* 23: 666–671.
13. Zhang W, Qiao L, Wang M, Liu Z, Chi P.et al.(2025) Bidirectional relation of self-regulation with oppositional defiant disorder symptom networks and moderating role of gender. *Development and Psychopathology* 37: 1616–1627.
14. Hawes DJ, Gardner F, Dadds MR, Frick PJ, Kimonis ER, et al. (2023) Oppositional defiant disorder. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers* 9: 31.
15. Steinberg LD. (2022) Psychosocial problems in adolescence. In Adolescence . New York, NY: McGraw-Hill
16. Nobakht HN, Steinsbekk S,Wichstrøm L. (2024), Development of symptoms of oppositional defiant disorder from preschool to adolescence: the role of bullying victimization and emotion regulation. *Journal of Child Psychiatry* 65: 343-353
17. Ghosh A, Ray A, Basu A. (2017) Oppositional defiant disorder: current insight. *Psychol Res Behav Manag*,10: 353-367
18. Anning KL, Langley K, Hobson C, et al. (2024) Cool and hot executive function problems in young children: linking self-regulation processes to emerging clinical symptoms. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* **33**: 2705-2718.
19. Bjørseth Å,Wichstrøm L. (2016) Effectiveness of Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT) in the Treatment of Young Children's Behavior Problems. A Randomized Controlled Study. *PloS one* 11: e0159845.
20. Fooladvand M, Nadi MA, Abedi A,Sajjadian I. (2021) Parenting styles for children with oppositional defiant disorder: Scope review. *Journal of education and health promotion* 10: 21
21. Fernandez MA, Adelstein JS, Miller SP, Areizaga MJ, Gold DC, et al. (2015) Teacher-Child Interaction Training: A Pilot Study with Random Assignment. *Behavior Therapy* 46: 463-477.
22. Stankus, Jaclynn S, Jancart Karl L,McGoey, Kara E. (2022) "The Effect of Teacher-Child Interaction Training (TCIT) on Children who are Exhibiting Disruptive Behaviors within the Classroom Setting," *Perspectives on Early Childhood Psychology and Education*.6: 2.
23. Barker C, de Lugt J. (2022) A review of evidence-based practices to support students with oppositional defiant disorder in classroom settings. *International Journal of Special Education* 37: 85-98.

Citation:

Devin Isaac Byers, Scott Bellini (2025) The Development of Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) in Youth: A Review of Risk, Protective, and Ameliorating Factors. *Psychol J Res Open* Volume 7(6): 1-5.