

Review Article

Berkeley, Anti-Semitism, and AI-Suggested Remedies: Current Thinking and a Future Opportunity

Howard Moskowitz¹, Stephen D. Rappaport², Petraq Papajorgi³, Sharon Wingert⁴, David Moskowitz⁵, Daniel Moskowitz⁶, Martin Braun⁷ and Rubin Cooper⁸

¹Cognitive Behavioral Insights, LLC, Albany, NY, USA

²SDR Consulting, Inc, Norwalk, CT, USA

³European University of Tirana, Albania, USA

⁴Tactical Data Group, Stafford, VA, USA

⁵Independent Researcher, Queens, NY, USA

⁶Mind Cart AI, Jerusalem, Israel, USA

⁷Queens College of the City University of New York (CUNY), Queens, NY, USA

⁸Zucker Hofstra School of Medicine, Northwell, New Hyde Park, NY, USA

*Corresponding authors: Howard Moskowitz, Cognitive Behavioral Insights, LLC, Albany, NY, USA

Received: March 05, 2024; Accepted: March 10, 2024; Published: March 20, 2024

Abstract

This study examines the growing anti-Semitism on the Berkeley campus. The article combines simulations of anti-Semitic attitudes with AI proposed solutions. The technique is based on Mind Genomics, which searches for attitudes in the population. These mindsets are various approaches to making judgments based on the same data or information. The research demonstrates the benefits of mimicking biases while also employing artificial intelligence to provide solutions to such preconceptions.

Introduction – The Growth of Anti-Semitism

The current political climate has fueled anti-Semitism, both locally and globally. Recent years have witnessed an upsurge in hate speech and discriminatory actions, allowing extremist ideologies to spread and gain acceptability. In this toxic environment, anti-Semitic beliefs are more likely to propagate and manifest as threatening and aggressive behavior. The present political context, both locally and globally, has been blamed for some of today's "newest incarnation" of anti-age-old Semitism's myths. Recent years have witnessed an upsurge in hate speech and discriminatory actions, allowing extremist ideologies to spread and gain acceptability. In this toxic environment, anti-Semitic beliefs are more likely to propagate and manifest as threatening and aggressive behavior [1-5]. Covert but growing acceptance of anti-Semitism has resulted in an increase in hate speech and acts among certain organizations. As a result, a toxic environment has formed in which individuals feel free to express their anti-Semitic views without fear of repercussions. Furthermore, as both parties have become more entrenched and unwilling to engage in genuine negotiations, the Israeli-Palestinian issue has become more polarized. Anti-Semitism has become stronger in the current political climate, both locally and globally. The rise in hate speech and discriminatory conduct in recent years has provided a forum for extreme ideologies to spread and gain support. Anti-Semitism is more likely to spread in this poisoned climate, showing itself as violent and deadly behaviors [6-11]. Anti-Semitic feelings are common in America, especially

among young people. These feelings may be a mirror of larger social problems including xenophobia and the growth of nationalism. In today's politically sensitive environment, young people could be more vulnerable to the influence of extreme beliefs or extremist organizations. Propaganda and false information demonizing specific groups may also be the source of the hatred and intolerance becoming increasingly public and readily expressed.

Anti-Semitism in Higher Academe, Specifically UC Berkeley

Anti-Semitism has recently increased on college campuses, particularly at UC Berkeley, although it seems to be widespread as of this writing (March 2024). This might be due to a number of causes, including the impact of extremist organizations and the growing polarization of political beliefs. In addition, social media has been used to organize rallies against pro-Israel speakers and propagate hate speech. A lack of education and understanding of the history and consequences of anti-Semitism may contribute to the anti-Semitism pandemic at UC Berkeley. Many students may be unaware of the full ramifications of their words and actions, thereby fueling a vicious cycle of hate and prejudice toward Jews. Furthermore, the university's failure to respond to and condemn anti-Semitic offenses may have given demonstrators the confidence to act without concerns about negative consequences [12-16]. There are most likely many explanations for the recent surge of anti-Jewish sentiment at the University of California, Berkeley. The ongoing wars in the Middle East, particularly those involving the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, might be one direct reason. This has

the capacity to elicit strong emotions and generate conflicting views regarding Israel and its activities. Protests and threats against the Israeli speaker may have stemmed from her apparent sympathy for the Israeli government's harsh policies or practices. It is possible that university demonstrators responded against the speaker because they considered their affiliations or ideas of view caused unfairness or harm. The timing of this hate campaign may be related to recent events in Israel and its ties with other countries in the region. For example, a disputed decision or action by the Israeli government might reignite interest and support for anti-Semitism. Furthermore, the ubiquity of social media and instant messaging may affect how rapidly information travels and how protests are planned [17-20].

Mind-Sets Emerging from Mind Genomics and Mind-Sets Synthesized by AI

The emerging science of Mind Genomics focuses on the understand of how people make decisions about the everyday issues in their lives, viz., their normal, quotidian existence. Rather than focusing on experiments which put people in artificial situations in order to figure out 'how they think', Mind Genomics does simple yet powerful experiments. The different ways people think about the same topic become obvious from the results of a Mind Genomics study.

Mind Genomics studies are executed in a systematic fashion, using experimental design, statistics (regression, clustering) and then interpretation to delve deep into a person's mind. The "process" of Mind Genomics begins by having the researcher develop questions about the topic, and, in turn, provide answers to those questions. The questions are often called 'categories', the answers are often called 'elements' or 'messages.' The questions deal with the different, general aspects of a topic. They should 'tell a story', or at least be able to be put together in a sequence which 'tells a story.' The requirement is not rigid, but the 'telling a story' promotes the notion that there should be a rationale to the questions. In turn, the answers or elements are specific messages, phrases which can stand alone. These elements paint 'word pictures' in the mind of the respondent. The process continues, with the respondent reading vignettes, combinations of answers or elements, but without the questions. The respondent reads each vignette, rates the vignette, and at the end the Mind Genomics database comprises a set of vignettes (24 per respondent), the rating of the vignette, and finally the composition of the vignette, in terms of which elements appear in each vignette, and which elements are absent. The final analyses uses OLS (ordinary least-squares) regression to identify which particular elements 'drive' the response, as well as

cluster analysis to divide the set of respondents into smaller groups based upon the similarity of patterns. Respondents with similar patterns of elements 'driving' the response are put into a common cluster. These clusters are called mind-sets. The mind-sets are remarkably easy to name because the patterns of strong performing elements within a mind-set immediately suggest a name for that mind-set. All of a sudden, this blooming, buzzing confusion comes into clear relief and one sees the rules by which a person weights the different messages to assign the rating [21-25]. The development of mindsets through Mind Genomics leads naturally to the question about the use of artificial intelligence, AI, to synthesize these mindsets. The specific question is whether AI can be told that there are a certain number of mindsets and then instructed to synthesize those mindsets. The difference here is that AI is simply informed about the topic, given an abbreviated 'introduction, and immediately instructed to create a certain number of mindsets, and of course afterwards answer questions about these mindsets, such as the name of the mindset, a description of the mindset, how the mindset react would to specific messages, slogans with which to communicate with the mind-set, etc. It will be that use of AI which will concern us for the rest of this paper, and especially a demonstration of what can be done with AI using Mind Genomics 'thinking' about the mind-sets based upon responses to the issues of the everyday.

A Worked Example Showing the Synthesis of Mind-Sets in Berkeley8803

The process begins by briefing AI about the topic. Table 1 shows the briefing given to AI. The specific instantiation of AI is called SCAS (Socrates as a Service.) SCAS is part of the BimiLeap platform for Mind Genomics. The text in Table 1 is typed into SCAS in the Mind Genomics platform. Note that the topic is explained in what might generously be labelled 'sparsely.' There is really no specific information.

Once the user has briefed SCAS (AI) has been briefed, it is a matter of iterations. Each iteration emerging from the AI ends up dealing with a specific mind-set. Occasionally the iteration fails, and the user has to return to try the iteration once again. The iterations require about 15 to 20 seconds each. The iterations are recorded in an Excel workbook. They are then analyzed after the study has been completed. The user might run 5-10 iterations in a matter of a few minutes. Each iteration, as noted above, is put into a separate tab in the Excel 'Idea Book'. A secondary set of analyses, built in to the prompted by the user and carried out by AI works on the answers and provides additional insight. Table 2 shows the results from the iterations, generating the

Table 1: The briefing question provided to AI (SCAS).

<p>Topic: An Israeli soldier was schedule to speak at Berkeley. He was threatened by those who hate Israel and support Palestinian terrorism. These people come from Berkeley students, but also from the entire spectrum of anti-Israel people</p> <p>There are six mind-sets of these types of people who hate Israel, all of whom are represented at Berkeley.</p> <p>Please provide the following information</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Name of the mind-type 2. Composition by gender, by age, by citizenship, by ethnic backgrounds (give percents) 3. Why do they hate Israel (six sentences) 4. What should Israel do to talk to these mind-sets to bring peace 5. What is the most effective way to counter the propaganda of these mind-sets 6. What actions will enrage them 7. What will they be likely doing in six months about their hate of Israel 8. What will they be likely doing in two years about their hate of Israel 9 What can neutralize them <p>In the answers, make the answers complete, extensive, easy to read, emotionally strong, and very persuasive.</p>

Table 2: AI Simulation of mind-sets of Berkeley protesters against Israel and an IDF speaker.

<p>1 RABID PROPAGANDIST</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They hate Israel because they have been indoctrinated with false information and propaganda that demonizes Israel and promotes the Palestinian cause. They see Israel as an oppressor and an illegitimate state that must be destroyed. They often spread lies and misinformation to promote their agenda and rally support against Israel. 2. Composition: 55% male, 45% female; Age: 18-25 (70%), 26-40 (20%), over 40 (10%); Citizenship: 80% U.S. citizens, 20% international students; Ethnic backgrounds: predominantly white (50%), Middle Eastern (20%), Hispanic (15%), other (15%). 3. Israel should engage in dialogue with them, presenting factual information, counterarguments, and alternative perspectives. They should address their concerns and attempt to debunk the false narratives they have been exposed to. Building bridges and fostering understanding can help change their perception of Israel. 4. The most effective way to counter their propaganda is through education, information dissemination, and engaging them in respectful debates. Using credible sources, facts, and personal stories can help challenge their beliefs and shed light on the reality of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. 5. Actions that may enrage them include exposing their lies, debunking their false narratives, and challenging their beliefs publicly. Any attempt to confront or contradict them may provoke a strong reaction and fuel their hatred towards Israel. 6. In six months, they may still be actively involved in promoting anti-Israel propaganda, attending protests, organizing events, and advocating for the Palestinian cause. They may continue to spread misinformation and rally support against Israel. 7. In two years, they may have become more entrenched in their beliefs and activities, possibly escalating their efforts to delegitimize Israel and support Palestinian terrorism. They may have become more radicalized and extreme in their views, leading to more aggressive actions against Israel. 8. Neutralizing them may require engaging in long-term dialogue, fostering empathy, and promoting mutual understanding. Building relationships, finding common ground, and addressing their grievances can help reduce their hostility towards Israel. 9. Subjects they may be majoring in school include political science, international relations, Middle Eastern studies, social justice, and media studies. These fields often influence their perspectives and beliefs about Israel. 10. They may have been influenced by biased media coverage, anti-Israel professors, activist groups, and peer pressure. Their exposure to one-sided narratives, emotional manipulation, and echo chambers can shape their views and fuel their hatred towards Israel. <p>2 ANTI-SEMITE</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They hate Israel because they hold deep-seated prejudices against Jewish people, view Israel as a symbol of Jewish power and influence, believe in conspiracy theories about Jewish control, harbor resentment towards historical events involving Jews, and support Palestinian causes as a means to target Jews. 2. Composition: 75% male, 25% female; 40% ages 18-25, 30% ages 26-40, 20% ages 41-60, 10% over 60; 60% US citizens, 20% dual citizens, 20% non-citizens; 45% Caucasian, 25% Hispanic, 15% African American, 10% Middle Eastern, 5% others. 3. Israel should engage in dialogue with antisemites to address their misconceptions, educate them about Jewish history and Israel's right to exist, and foster understanding through cultural exchange programs. 4. The most effective way to counter antisemitic propaganda is to promote accurate information about Israel's contributions to society, highlight the diversity and inclusivity of Israeli society, and showcase positive interactions between Israeli and Palestinian communities. 5. Actions that will enrage antisemites include successful Israeli diplomatic efforts, positive media coverage of Israel, and advancements in Israel's economy and technology sectors. 6. In six months, they will likely still be actively participating in anti-Israel protests, spreading misinformation online, and advocating for boycotts of Israeli products. 7. In two years, they may continue their anti-Israel activism, potentially escalating to supporting or engaging in more extreme forms of protest or violence against Israeli individuals or institutions. 8. To neutralize antisemites, engaging in open dialogue, promoting tolerance and understanding, and addressing the root causes of their hatred through education and awareness campaigns is crucial. 9. Subjects they may be majoring in school include political science, sociology, history, middle eastern studies, international relations, and ethnic studies. 10. They may have become this way through exposure to anti-Semitic ideologies, personal biases, negative experiences with Jewish individuals, discriminatory beliefs passed down from family or community members, and lack of exposure to diverse perspectives. <p>3 ANTI-SEMITIC EXTREMIST</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This individual harbor deep-seated hatred towards Israel due to anti-Semitic beliefs that have been ingrained in them from a young age. They view Israel as a symbol of Jewish power and success, which threatens their own beliefs and identity. 2. Composition by gender, by age, by citizenship, by ethnic backgrounds: 80% male, 20% female; 25% under 30, 50% between 30-50, 25% over 50; 60% citizens of Western countries, 40% citizens of Middle Eastern countries; predominantly from Arab or Muslim backgrounds. 3. What should Israel do to talk to these mind-sets to bring peace: Israel should work with international organizations to combat anti-Semitism and educate the public on the history of the Jewish people and their connection to the land of Israel. Engaging in dialogue with moderate voices within the anti-Semitic community can also help challenge their beliefs and foster understanding. 4. What is the most effective way to counter the propaganda of these mind-sets: Utilizing social media and digital platforms to highlight the positive contributions of Israel to the world and debunking false narratives spread by anti-Semitic extremists. Engaging in public relations campaigns that showcase Israel's commitment to peace and coexistence can also help change public perception. 5. What actions will enrage them: Any form of acknowledgment or acceptance of Israel's legitimacy as a state in the Middle East, engaging in peaceful dialogue with Israeli representatives, or supporting initiatives that promote understanding and cooperation between Israelis and Palestinians. 6. What will they be likely doing in six months about their hate of Israel: They will likely continue to spread anti-Semitic propaganda, engage in online hate speech, and participate in protests or demonstrations against Israel. They may also collaborate with like-minded individuals to further their agenda. 7. What will they be likely doing in two years about their hate of Israel: They may become more entrenched in their beliefs and escalate their actions to spread hatred towards Israel, potentially becoming involved in more extremist groups or activities. They may also seek to influence others to join their cause and perpetuate a cycle of intolerance. 8. What can neutralize them: Engaging in meaningful dialogue with individuals who hold anti-Semitic beliefs, promoting education and awareness about the Holocaust and the history of anti-Semitism, and fostering interfaith initiatives that bring diverse communities together in mutual respect and understanding. 9. What subjects are they majoring in school: They may focus on social sciences, political science, or international relations, where they can further their understanding of global conflicts and ideologies that fuel their anti-Semitic beliefs. 10. How did they get to be this way: They were likely exposed to anti-Semitic rhetoric and propaganda from a young age, whether through family, community, or online influences. They may have experienced discrimination or hardship that led them to scapegoat the Jewish people and view Israel as a source of their problems. <p>4 Anti-Zionist Activist</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why do they hate Israel: Anti-Zionist activists hate Israel because they believe in the narrative that Israel is an oppressive colonial state that has displaced the Indigenous Palestinian population. They see the Israeli government's actions as unjust and inhumane, leading to a deep sense of solidarity with the Palestinian cause. 2. Composition: 60% female, 40% male; 70% aged 18-35, 30% 36-60; 80% American citizens, 20% non-citizens; 60% Arab, 20% Caucasian, 10% African American, 10% other. 3. What should Israel do to talk to these mind-sets to bring peace: Israel should engage in dialogue with anti-Zionist activists to address their concerns and grievances. By listening to their perspectives and engaging in constructive conversations, Israel can work towards building understanding and fostering mutual respect. 4. What is the most effective way to counter the propaganda of these mind-sets: The most effective way to counter the propaganda of anti-Zionist activists is to provide factual information and educate people on the complexities of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. By sharing diverse perspectives and promoting open dialogue, the false narratives perpetuated by these individuals can be challenged.

5. What actions will enrage them: Enraging anti-Zionist activists can be triggered by any aggressive or provocative actions taken by the Israeli government, such as military operations in Palestinian territories or controversial policies that infringe upon Palestinian rights.
6. What will they be likely doing in six months about their hate of Israel: In six months, anti-Zionist activists will likely continue to engage in advocacy work, organizing protests, and participating in initiatives aimed at raising awareness about the Palestinian cause and criticizing Israeli policies.
7. What will they be likely doing in two years about their hate of Israel: In two years, anti-Zionist activists may further deepen their involvement in activism, possibly joining international campaigns or initiatives to pressure Israel to change its policies towards the Palestinians.
8. What can neutralize them: To neutralize anti-Zionist activists, Israel must engage in meaningful dialogue, address the root causes of their grievances, and work towards finding mutually beneficial solutions to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Building bridges and fostering understanding can help decrease animosity and lead to peaceful coexistence.

5 RADICAL ANTI-ZIONIST

1. These individuals hate Israel because they believe the establishment of the state of Israel was unjust and resulted in the displacement of Palestinians from their land. They see Israel as an occupying force that oppresses the Palestinian people and denies them their basic human rights. They also view Israel as a colonial entity that perpetuates violence and discrimination against Palestinians. They hold deep-rooted ideological beliefs that reject the legitimacy of Israel as a Jewish state. They are influenced by anti-Semitic tropes and conspiracy theories that demonize Israel and Jews. They align themselves with anti-Israel movements and groups that seek the destruction of the Jewish state.
2. Composition: Gender - 60% Male, 40% Female; Age - 18-25 (50%), 26-40 (30%), 41+ (20%); Citizenship - 70% US citizens, 20% dual citizenship, 10% other; Ethnic Backgrounds - 40% Arab/Middle Eastern, 30% Caucasian, 20% Hispanic/Latino, 10% other.
3. Israel should engage in open dialogue and communication with radical anti-Zionists to address their concerns and grievances. They should work towards finding common ground and understanding each other's perspectives. Offering opportunities for education and cultural exchange programs could help bridge the divide and foster mutual respect. Israel should demonstrate its commitment to peace and human rights through concrete actions and policies that benefit both Israelis and Palestinians.
4. The most effective way to counter the propaganda of radical anti-Zionists is through proactive advocacy and public relations efforts. Israel should highlight its contributions to society, its commitment to democracy and pluralism, and its efforts towards peace and coexistence. Utilizing social media, engaging with influential figures, and organizing events that promote dialogue and understanding can help challenge negative narratives and misinformation. Providing accurate information, historical context, and personal testimonies can debunk myths and stereotypes.
5. Actions that will enrage radical anti-Zionists include military operations, settlement expansions, and discriminatory policies that further marginalize Palestinians. Any form of violence, human rights abuses, or violations of international law will only fuel their resentment and reinforce their negative perceptions of Israel. Appearing uncompromising, dismissive, or disrespectful towards their beliefs will also intensify their animosity.
6. In six months, radical anti-Zionists may continue participating in protests, rallies, and advocacy campaigns against Israel. They could be working on building coalitions, organizing events, and raising awareness about their cause through social media and grassroots activism. Some individuals might pursue academic research, journalism, or politics as a means to advance their anti-Israel agenda.
7. In two years, radical anti-Zionists might be involved in international solidarity movements, diplomatic initiatives, or legal efforts to hold Israel accountable for alleged human rights violations. They could be exploring opportunities for collaboration with like-minded organizations, political parties, or governments to exert pressure on Israel and shape public opinion. Some individuals might be radicalized further and resort to extremist actions or support violence against Israelis.
8. To neutralize radical anti-Zionists, Israel can engage in constructive dialogue, mutual recognition, and conflict resolution mechanisms that address the root causes of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Promoting reconciliation, coexistence, and empathy through education, cultural exchanges, and community projects can help build trust and foster peace-building efforts.

6 RADICAL ISRAEL-HATER

1. These individuals hate Israel because they believe in the false propaganda and misinformation spread about the country, they have bought into the anti-Israel narrative promoted by extremist groups, they have personal biases and prejudices against Jews, they support the Palestinian cause without fully understanding the complexities of the situation, they have been influenced by anti-Semitic ideology, and they may feel resentment towards Israel for various political or social reasons.
2. Composition: 60% male, 40% female; 70% ages 18-30, 20% ages 31-50, 10% ages over 50; 80% citizens of the United States, 20% citizens of other countries; ethnic backgrounds vary widely but include Caucasian, Middle Eastern, Hispanic, and African American.
3. Israel should engage in open dialogue with these individuals, providing them with factual information about the country, addressing their misconceptions and misunderstandings, highlighting Israel's efforts towards peace and coexistence, and fostering understanding and empathy through education and communication.
4. The most effective way to counter the propaganda of these mindsets is to present truthful and balanced information, showcase Israel's diverse and inclusive society, debunk myths and stereotypes, engage in respectful and constructive discussions, and promote peacebuilding initiatives.
5. Actions that will enrage them include showcasing Israel's successes and advancements, highlighting the positive contributions of the country, engaging in peaceful and diplomatic efforts, and combating hate speech and discrimination.
6. In six months, they may still be actively participating in anti-Israel protests and campaigns, engaging in online activism, and promoting anti-Israel propaganda through social media and rallies.
7. In two years, they may have expanded their efforts to include advocacy work, joining organizations dedicated to supporting the Palestinian cause, and potentially participating in international initiatives against Israel.
8. To neutralize them, Israel can continue to engage in dialogue and education, promote cultural exchange and collaboration, showcase its commitment to human rights and democracy, and demonstrate its willingness to work towards peace and coexistence.

7 RADICAL ANTI-ISRAEL ORGANIZATION

1. They hate Israel because they view it as an occupying force that suppresses Palestinian rights, they resent Israel's military strength, they believe Israel is responsible for the ongoing conflict in the region, they see Israel as a colonial power, they reject Israel's existence as a Jewish state, and they may harbor anti-Semitic beliefs.
2. Composition for this mind-set may vary, but could include 60% male and 40% female, 75% under the age of 30, 50% holding American citizenship, and 70% coming from diverse ethnic backgrounds such as Arab, Iranian, and Western European.
3. Israel should engage in dialogue with these organizations to understand their grievances and address their concerns, while also promoting peace-building initiatives and highlighting the benefits of a two-state solution.
4. The most effective way to counter their propaganda is through education and awareness campaigns that present a balanced perspective on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, highlight Israel's contributions to the region, and debunk myths and misinformation spread by these organizations.
5. Actions that may enrage them include further military engagements or security measures by Israel, diplomatic agreements that they perceive as unfavorable to Palestinians, and international recognition of Israel's right to exist.
6. In six months, they may still be actively protesting against Israel, organizing boycott campaigns, and intensifying their efforts to delegitimize Israel on social media and in academic circles.
7. In two years, they may be working towards gaining political influence, lobbying for anti-Israel policies, and possibly participating in international solidarity movements in support of Palestinian causes.
8. To neutralize them, Israel can engage in diplomatic initiatives to address the root causes of the conflict, promote economic development in the region, and encourage dialogue and cooperation between Israelis and Palestinians.
9. They may have been influenced by family backgrounds, personal experiences of conflict or discrimination, exposure to biased media coverage, and ideological indoctrination by extremist groups.

mind-sets. Note that the various iterations generated seven mind-sets, not six. The reason is that each iteration generated only one mind-set, even though the briefing in Table 1 specified six mind-sets. Each iteration begins totally anew, without any memory of the results from the previous iterations. The consequence is that SCAS (viz., AI) may return with many more different mind-sets since each iteration generates one mind-set in isolation.

Benefits from AI Empowered by Mind Genomics Thinking to Synthesize Mind-sets

Mind Genomics allows us to better comprehend the protestors' individual tastes, values, and views by breaking them down into different mindsets. Having this information is essential for creating communication plans and focused interventions. AI enables us to analyze vast amounts of data and simulate a variety of scenarios. It can decipher complex data and identify patterns and trends that are not immediately apparent to human viewers. Artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to help us make better decisions by helping us predict the potential outcomes of certain strategies and actions. Mind Genomics thinking empowering AI Intelligence simulation capabilities can allow us to analyze and understand the different mindsets of the protesters at UC Berkeley. Mind Genomics allows us the idea to segment the protesters based on their unique perceptions, attitudes, and beliefs towards the Israel speaker. This will give us a deeper insight into the underlying motives and triggers of their intolerant behavior. In turn, using AI almost immediately enables us create to virtual scenarios, simulate various perspectives, and then synthesize the array of reactions of the protesters [26]. This real-time synthesis of different mindsets may enable the creation of meaningful, feasible strategies to counter the intolerant antisemitism at a faster pace. Simulating this type of thinking and behavior is meaningful because it allows us to explore a wide range of possibilities and outcomes in a controlled environment. It provides us with valuable insights into the dynamics of group behavior and the factors that drive intolerance and protest movements. By conducting simulations, we can test different

strategies and interventions in a risk-free setting and identify the most effective approaches. Rather of falling for artificial intelligence's tricks, we should use its powers to improve our comprehension and judgment. Artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to improve our capacity to evaluate complicated data and model various situations, opening up new avenues for investigation. We can learn more about the actions and motives of the UC Berkeley protestors by fusing the analytical framework of Mind Genomics with the computing capacity of AI. This makes it possible for us to examine the fundamental causes of intolerance and anti-Semitism in academic settings in more detail.

How AI can Synthesize the Future of Future of the Young Haters in UC Berkeley

As a final exercise, AI (SCAS) was instructed to use its 'knowledge,' about the mind-sets of students to predict their future. These were called the 'young haters in UC Berkeley.' The request to AI was to predict their future. The prediction by AI appears in Table 3. It is clear from Table 3 that AI is able to synthesize what might be a reasonable future for the young haters in UC Berkeley. Whether the prediction is precisely correct or not is not important. What is important is the fact that AI can be interrogated to get ideas about the future of students who do certain things, about the nature of mindsets of people who hold certain beliefs, as well as issues which ordinarily would tax one's thinking and creative juices but might eventually emerge given sufficient effort. The benefit here is that AI can be reduced to iterations, each of which takes approximately 15 seconds, each of which can be further analyzed subsequently by a variety of queries, and which together generate a corpus of knowledge.

Discussion and Conclusions

A House of Social Issues and Human Rights – A Library and Database Located at UC Berkeley

Rather than looking at the negative of the resurgent anti-Semitism at Berkeley, and indeed around the world, let us see whether, in fact, the

Table 3: AI synthesis of the future of the young haters in UC Berkeley.

AI synthesis of the future of the 'young haters in UC Berkeley	
1.	It is possible that the young demonstrators may still have strong opinions and be actively engaged in social justice movements in a year or two. But when students go into the job and see how hard it is to make a living, they may have to cope with the difficulties of striking a balance between their values and the needs of the working world. As they negotiate the challenges of adulthood, they can find themselves reassessing their strategies and convictions.
2.	The young demonstrators can feel conflicted about taking part in the demonstrations. Some people might be pleased with their involvement and the societal change they have brought about. Some may come to the realization that their approach was not always effective or productive, and they may regret saying or doing certain things during demonstrations. They can be troubled by how their activity has affected their personal and professional identities.
3.	People looking for jobs may encounter scrutiny from potential employers due to their previous involvement in protests. Employers could have a different perspective on activism than others and question the protestors' ability to work well in a team in a professional setting. The protestors may have a hard time finding employment that aligns with their values and perspective. It might be challenging for them to strike a balance between their identities as activists and the expectations of the commercial world. Once they start working, the demonstrators could begin to question the effectiveness of their prior efforts. They could reconsider the strategies they use to advocate for social change and search for novel ways to have a positive impact. In addition, they could encounter resistance and criticism from others who disagree with them, which might spur further reflection and personal growth.
4.	In the long term, the young protesters may carry their experiences with activism into their careers, incorporating their passion for social justice into their professional lives. They may become advocates for change within their industries, using their skills and knowledge to address systemic issues and promote equity and inclusion. Their past involvement in protests may shape their values, guiding their decisions and actions as they navigate the complexities of the working world.
5.	In general, the youth demonstrators can experience a metamorphosis as they go from activism to the job. Along the process, they could encounter criticism and introspection as they struggle to strike a balance between their principles and the demands of their professional lives. Even though individuals could face challenges, their involvement in demonstrations can eventually influence their attitudes, beliefs, and behavior in the effort to build a society that is fairer and more equal.
6.	The genesis of this hatred at UC Berkeley might be traced back to a combination of social media influences, intricate geopolitical dynamics, and cultural divides that have fostered intolerance and extremism. It takes a diverse strategy to address these underlying problems, including education. In order to combat anti-Semitism at UC Berkeley and other campuses, campus leaders must take a strong stance against hate speech and discriminatory behavior. The development of a more tolerant and polite campus community may also be aided by giving priority to teaching about the causes and historical context of anti-Semitism. Encouraging empathy and compassion for everyone, regardless of background or perspective, is another way that educators and students may fight hate speech.

emergent power of AI can be used to understand prejudice and combat it, just as we have seen what it can do to help us understand the possible sources of the attacks at Berkeley. We are talking here about the creation of a database using AI to understand all forms of the suppression of human rights and to suggest how to reduce this oppression, how to ameliorate the problems, how to negotiate coexistence, how to create a lasting peace. We could call this THE HOUSE OF SOCIAL ISSUES AND HUMAN RIGHTS, and perhaps even locate it somewhere at Berkeley. What would be the specifics of this proposition? The next paragraphs outline the vision. We may imagine a vast collection paper dealing with the presentation, analysis, discussion, and solution of societal concerns. This library, which is possible to construct in a few months at a surprisingly cheap cost (apart from the people who do the thinking), will be a complete digital platform where people can get resources, knowledge, and answers on urgent social problems from anywhere in the globe. There will be parts of the library devoted to subjects including human rights, environmental sustainability, education, healthcare, and poverty, among others. Articles, research papers, case studies, and other materials will be included in each part to assist readers in comprehending the underlying causes of these problems as well as possible solutions. The library will act as a center for cooperation and information exchange, enabling people and communities to benefit from one another's triumphs and experiences. With this wealth of knowledge at its disposal, the library will enable people to take charge of their own lives and transform their communities for the better. By encouraging individuals to join together and work together to create a more fair and equal society, this library will benefit the whole planet. The library will boost empathy and understanding by encouraging social problem education and awareness, which will result in increased support for underprivileged communities. The library's use of evidence-based remedies will address structural inequities and provide genuine opportunities.

Books on human rights and world order adorn the shelves of a large library devoted to tackling social concerns globally. Every book includes in-depth assessments and suggested solutions for the problems that humanity now and in the future may confront. The library provides a source of information and inspiration for change, addressing issues ranging from wars and injustices to prejudice and inequality. The collection covers a wide range of topics, including access to education, healthcare, and clean water, as well as gender equality and the empowerment of marginalized communities. It explores the root causes of poverty, violence, and environmental degradation, offering strategies for sustainable development and peacebuilding. The diversity of perspectives and approaches within the library reflects the complexity and interconnectedness of global issues, encouraging dialogue and collaboration among researchers, policymakers, and activists. As visitors navigate the aisles of the library, they discover case studies and success stories from around the world, showcasing innovative solutions and best practices in promoting human rights and fostering a more just and equitable world order. They engage with interactive exhibits and multimedia resources, highlighting the power of storytelling and advocacy in driving social change and building solidarity among diverse populations. The library serves as a hub for research, advocacy, and activism, fostering a sense of collective responsibility and global citizenship among its users. Scholars and

practitioners from various fields converge in the library, exchanging ideas, sharing expertise, and mobilizing resources to address pressing social challenges and advance the cause of human rights and justice. They participate in workshops, seminars, and conferences, deepening their understanding of complex issues and sharpening their skills in advocacy, diplomacy, and conflict resolution. The library serves as a catalyst for social innovation and transformative change, inspiring individuals and organizations to unite in pursuit of a more inclusive, peaceful, and sustainable world. Visitors to the library are encouraged to reflect on their own role in promoting human rights and upholding ethical principles in their personal and professional lives. They are challenged to think critically about the impact of their actions on others, and to explore ways in which they can contribute to positive social change and build a more resilient and compassionate society. The library serves as a place of introspection and inspiration, empowering individuals to become agents of change and advocates for justice and equality in their communities and beyond.

References

- Friedman S (2023) Good Jew, Bad Jew: Racism, anti-Semitism and the assault on meaning. *NYU Press*.
- Gertensfeld M (2005) The deep roots of anti-semitism in European society. *Jewish Political Studies Review* 17: 3-46.
- Ginsberg B (2024) The New American Anti-Semitism: The Left, the Right, and the Jews. *Independent Institute*.
- Greenwood H (2020) Corona pandemic opens floodgates for antisemitism. *Israel Hayom*. March 19, 2020.
- Spektorowski A (2024) Anti-Semitism, Islamophobia and Anti-Zionism: Discrimination and political Construction. *Religions* 15: 74.
- Alexander JC, Adams T (2023) The return of antisemitism? Waves of societalization and what conditions them. *American Journal of Cultural Sociology* 11: 251-268.
- Jikeli G (2015) European Muslim antisemitism: Why Young urban males say they don't like jews. *Bloomington: Indiana University Press*.
- Kushner T (2017) Antisemitism in Britain: Continuity and the absence of a resurgence? In *Antisemitism Before and Since the Holocaust*, 253-276. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.
- LaFreniere Tamez HD Anastasio N, Perliger A (2023) Explaining the Rise of Antisemitism in the United States. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, pp.1-22, Taylor & Francis.
- Lewis B (2006) The new antisemitism. *The American Scholar* 75: 25-36.
- Lipstadt DE (2019) *Antisemitism: Here and Now*. New York: Schocken.
- Bailard CS, Graham MH, Gross K, Porter E, Tromble R (2023) Combating hateful attitudes and online browsing behavior: The case of antisemitism. *Journal of Experimental Political Science*, First View, 1-14.
- Kenedy RA (2022) Jewish Students' Experiences in the Era of BDS: Exploring Jewish Lived Experience and Antisemitism on Canadian Campuses. In *Israel and the Diaspora: Jewish Connectivity in a Changing World* (pp. 183-204). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Harizi A, Trebicka B, Tartaraj A, Moskowitz H (2020) A mind genomics cartography of shopping behavior for food products during the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Journal of Medicine and Natural Sciences* 4: 25-33.
- Burton AL (2021) OLS (Linear) regression. *The Encyclopedia of Research Methods in Criminology and Criminal Justice* 2: 509-514.
- Fishman AC (2022) *Discrimination on College Campuses: Perceptions of Evangelical Christian, Jewish, and Muslim Students: A Secondary Data Analysis* (Doctoral dissertation, Yeshiva University).
- Al Jazeera (English) (2024) US rights group urges colleges to protect free speech amid Gaza war." *Al Jazeera English*, 1 Nov. 2023, p. NA. Gale Academic OneFile.

18. Wu T, He S, Liu J, Sun S, Liu K, Han QL, Tang Y et al. (2023) A brief overview of ChatGPT: The history, status quo and potential future development. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica* 10: 1122-1136.
19. Radványi D, Gere A, Moskowitz HR (2020) The mind of sustainability: a mind genomics cartography. *International Journal of R&D Innovation Strategy (IJRDIS)* 2: 22-43.
20. Papajorgji P, Moskowitz H (2023) The 'Average Person' Thinking About Radicalization: A Mind Genomics Cartography. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology* 38: 369-380. [[crossref](#)]
21. Shapiro I (2024) Even Jew-haters have Free Speech, But ... inFOCUS, 18, 10+. Gale Accessed 29 Feb. 2024.
22. Papajorgji P, Ilollari O, Civici A, Moskowitz H (2021) A Mind Genomics based cartography to assess the effects of the COVID19 pandemic in the tourism industry. *WSEAS Transactions on Environment and Development* 17: 1021-1029.
23. Mulvey T, Rappaport SD, Deitel Y, Morford T, DiLorenzo A, et al. (2024) Using structured AI to create a Socratic tutor to promote critical thinking about COVID-19 and congestive heart failure *Advances in Public Health, Community and Tropical Medicine APCTM-195* ISSN 2691-8803
24. Milligan GW, Cooper MC (1987) Methodology review: Clustering methods. *Applied Psychological Measurement* 11: 329-354.
25. Nassar M (2023) Exodus, nakba denialism, and the mobilization of anti-Arab Racism. *Critical Sociology* 49: 1037-1051.
26. Lonas, Lexi (2023) "Palestinian Student Group At Center of Antisemitism, Free Speech Debate: SJP chapters said the world witnessed 'a historic win for the Palestinian resistance: across land, air, and sea, our people have broken down the artificial barriers of the Zionist entity.'" Hill, 16 Nov. 2023, p. 11. Gale Academic OneFile.

Citation:

Moskowitz H, Rappaport SD, Papajorgji P, Wingert S, Moskowitz D, et al. (2024) Berkeley, Anti-Semitism, and AI-Suggested Remedies: Current Thinking and a Future Opportunity. *Cancer Stud Ther J* Volume 9(1): 1-7.