Research Open

Volume 7 Issue 1

Research Article

Prevalance and Risk Factors of Hypogonadism in Male Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in El Minya, Egypt

Ghada M Elsagheer^{1*}, Eyman Hussen¹, Asmaa K Ahmed¹ and Ahmed Abdelfadel²

¹Endocrinology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Minia School of Medicine, Minia University, Minia, Egypt ²Department of Clinical Pathology, Minia School of Medicine, Minia University, Minia, Egypt

*Corresponding author: Ghada M Elsagheer, Endocrinology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Minia School of Medicine, Minia University, Minia, Egypt

Received: January 28, 2023; Accepted: February 06, 2023; Published: February 24, 2023

Abstract

Background: Hypogonadism in adult men is a clinical and biochemical syndrome associated with low level of testosterone, which may adversely affect multiple organ functions and quality of life. It is closely related to the development of diabetes. This study was designed to determine the incidence of hypogonadism and related risk factors among men with type 2 diabetes (T2D).

Patients and Methods: A total of 300 male patients diagnosed with T2D age from 30-70 years were enrolled in the study. Arabic version of the Androgen Deficiency in Aging Male (ADAM) questionnaire was employed to assess the androgen insufficiency in men. Hemoglobin A1c, FSH, LH, total and free testosterone, levels were measured by enzyme immunoassay.

Results: T2D patients were divided into two groups: 48 (16%) patients with hypogonadism and 252 (84%) patients without hypogonadism. Multiple logistic regression analysis for factors affecting Hypogonadism among patients according to (total testosterone + ADAM +ve) versus those without hypogonadism it was found that age, random blood sugar, body mass index (BMI), Hb A1c are independent risk factors for the development of hypogonadism with odds ratio (0.95, 1, 1.1, 1.37) with p value (0.02, 0.03, 0. 03, 0.008) respectively. The ROC analysis of the accuracy of indices and cut off values for the studied total testosterone for predicting the hypogonadism according to total testosterone + ADAM score positive: The AUC was 0.98 «p-value <0.0001» with sensitivity 100% and specificity of 96.4% at Cut off value ≤ 12 .

Conclusion: Several risk factors of diabetes are associated closely with hypogonadism. Age, BMI, blood sugar, and Hb A1c are independent risk factors for the development of hypogonadism in male patients with T2D.

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disorder characterized by the presence of hyperglycemia due to defective insulin secretion, defective insulin action or both. The chronic hyperglycemia of diabetes is associated with relatively specific Long-term complications from high blood sugar can include macrovascular complications as coronary artery disease , cerebrovascular strokes and chronic limb ischemia and there is microvascular complication as diabetic retinopathy, chronic kidney disease which may require regular dialysis, and diabetic neuropathy [1].

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is ranging from predominantly insulin resistance with relative insulin deficiency to predominantly an insulin secretory defect with insulin resistance. This form of diabetes, which accounts for 90-95% of those with diabetes, previously referred as noninsulin dependent diabetes, type 2 diabetes, or adult onset diabetes, encompasses individuals who have insulin resistance and usually have relative (rather than absolute) insulin deficiency. This is probably many different causes of this form of diabetes although the specific etiologies are not known. Most patients with this form of diabetes are obese, and obesity itself causes some degree of insulin resistance [2].

Hypogonadism (testosterone deficiency) in adult men is a clinical and biochemical syndrome associated with low level of testosterone, which may adversely affect multiple organ functions and quality of life [3]. Overt hypogonadism was defined as the presence of clinical symptoms of hypogonadism and low testosterone level (total testosterone <8 nmol/l and/or bioavailable testosterone <2.5 nmol/l). Borderline hypogonadism was defined as the presence of symptoms and total testosterone of 8-12 nmol/l or bioavailable testosterone of 2.5-4 nmol/l [4].

From the cross-sectional studies done, it is clear that between 20% and 64% of men with diabetes have hypogonadism; generally, there is a slow and continuous decrease in testosterone production among older population. Furthermore, the prevalence of hypogonadism varies between racial, ethnic groups [5]. A high incidence of hypogonadism in men with (T2D) has been globally reported. This study was designed to determine the incidence of hypogonadism and related risk factors among men with T2D in Minia governorate, Egypt.

Patients and Methods

This prospective cross sectional study included a total of 300 T2D patients; all patients gave verbal consent to participate in the study. Patients were selected from those coming for follow up at different Minia University and Ministry of Health hospitals in the period between January 2018 and June 2019. Patients are known to have type 2 diabetes mellitus according to criteria of American diabetic association [6].

The criteria of diagnosis of hypogonadism in our work is low level of testosterone (12 nmol/L total testosterone 3.5 ng/mL) represents a reliable threshold to diagnose late onset hypogonadism (LOH) or free testosterone <5.7 pg/ml) and positive result of screening of ADAM questionnaire [3,7]. Hypogonadism was classified as primary hypogonadism (total testosterone >12 nmol/L and LH <10 IU\L) and secondary hypogonadism (total testosterone >12 nmol/L and LH >10 IU/L).

Inclusion Criteria

Male patients, diagnosed with diabetes mellitus type 2, age from 30-70 years and on oral therapy or insulin or both.

Exclusion Criteria

Any patient with any of the following criteria: a history of hypopituitarism, type 1 diabetes mellitus, chronic debilitating diseases, chronic inflammatory diseases, or connective tissue disorders, take any medications affecting glucose metabolism as (steroids, anti-psychotic medications), malignancy, autonomic neuropathy, or patients on testosterone replacement therapy.

All subjects were subjected to full history taking and thorough clinical examination. All the patients were required to complete an Arabic version of the Androgen Deficiency in Aging Male (ADAM) questionnaire designed by the Saint Louis University, MO, USA, 2007. This 10-item screening questionnaire was employed to assess the androgen insufficiency in aging men, including morning erection to exclude psychogenic erectile dysfunction. A positive response denoted the presence of clinical hypogonadism based on a decrease in libido, strength of erections, or any three nonspecific questions that may include a decrease in muscle strength, fatigability, mood changes, and loss of height.

The following laboratory investigations were performed: blood glucose level, renal and liver function tests, complete lipogram using fully automated clinical chemistry auto-analyzer system Konelab 20i (Thermo-Electron Incorporation, Finland). Hemoglobin A1c (glycated hemoglobin), FSH, LH, total and free testosterone, levels were measured by enzyme immunoassay.

Study sample size: the number of study participants was calculated using EPI - Info (statistical; software for epidemiology) depend on population number (diabetic patients) and percentage of disease (prevalence of hypogonadism).

Statistical Analysis

Normality of data distribution was done by using Shapiro-wilk test. Descriptive statistics, such as percentages, frequencies, mean, and standard deviations, were used to measure the demographic variables, clinical and laboratory data. Analytical statistics were applied to investigate the association of the demographic variables, clinical and laboratory data and hypogonadism. Quantitative data were presented by mean (standard deviation), while qualitative data were presented by frequency distribution. The independent sample t-test used for comparison of means and the Chi-square test was used to compare between proportions.

Logestic regression analyses were performed to identify the significant predictors (independent risk factors) for hypogonadism (target dependent factor) The probability of less than 0.05 was used

as a cut off point for all significant tests and all statistical tests were 2 tailed. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve is the plot that displays the full picture of trade-off between the sensitivity (true positive rate) and (1-specificity) (false positive rate) across a series of cut-off points. Total area under ROC curve is a single index for measuring the performance a test. The larger the AUC, the better is overall performance of the medical test to correctly identify diseased and non-diseased subjects. All analyses were done using the statistical Package of social Science (SPSS, version 22).

Results

This study included a total of 300 men with T2D. Their sociodemographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. T2D patients were divided into two groups: 48 (16%) patients with hypogonadism and 252 (84%) patients without hypogonadism. 68.8% of the hypogonadal group

Table 1: Sociodemographic data of whole patient with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Age (years) 54.46 ± 9.46 (31-70) Age groups 33 (11%) 41-50 (years) 60 (20%) 51-60 (years) 126 (42%) 61-70 (years) 81 (27%) Smoking 141 (47%) Non smoker 96 (32%) Ex-smoker 63 (21%) Type of treatment of DM 21 (7%) Lifestyle 21 (7%) Insulin 60 (20%) Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 99 (13%) No 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 99 (13%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) HID 27 (9%) Retinopathy 21 (7%) No 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.67 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 21 (7%) Stroke 126 (42%) HID 27 (9%)	Socio-demographic characteristics	Mean ± SD or N (%)
Age groups 30-40 (years) 33 (11%) 41-50 (years) 60 (20%) 51-60 (years) 126 (42%) 61-70 (years) 81 (27%) Smoking 141 (47%) Non smoker 141 (47%) Smoking 96 (32%) Ex-smoker 63 (21%) Type of treatment of DM 116 (20%) Lifestyle 21 (7%) Insulin 60 (20%) Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 98 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : Neuropathy Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) HID 27 (9%) Retinopathy 21 (7%) Nephropathy 3(1%) Hypertension 99 (13%) No 198 (66%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) S 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 156 (52%)<	Age (years)	54.46 ± 9.46 (31-70)
$30-40$ (years) $33 (11\%)$ $41-50$ (years) $60 (20\%)$ $51-60$ (years) $126 (42\%)$ $61-70$ (years) $81 (27\%)$ Smoking $81 (27\%)$ Non smoker $96 (32\%)$ Ex-smoker $63 (21\%)$ Type of treatment of DM $21 (7\%)$ Insulin $60 (20\%)$ Oral antidiabetic $180 (60\%)$ Mixed (Insulin + oral) $39 (13\%)$ Complication of DM $9 (13\%)$ No $198 (66\%)$ Yes $102 (34\%)$ Classification of complication : $9 (13\%)$ Neuropathy $39 (13\%)$ Stroke $12 (4\%)$ IHD $27 (9\%)$ Retinopathy $3 (1\%)$ No $204 (68\%)$ Yes $96 (32\%)$ Duration of diabetes ranges $5 (years)$ $\leq 5 (years)$ $51 (17\%)$ $66 (22\%)$ $11-15 (years)$ $< 15 (years)$ $51 (17\%)$ $< 15 (years)$ $51 (17\%)$ $< 5 (years)$ $66 (22\%)$ $11-15 (years)$	Age groups	
$41-50$ (years) 60 (20%) $51-60$ (years) 126 (42%) $61-70$ (years) 81 (27%) Smoking 141 (47%) Non smoker 141 (47%) Smoker 96 (32%) Ex-smoker 63 (21%) Type of treatment of DM 11 Lifestyle 21 (7%) Insulin 60 (20%) Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 90 (13%) No 124 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 31 (13%) Mono 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes ranges 204 (68%) \leq 5 (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 51 (17%) \leq 5 (years) 51 (17%) $=$ 5 (years) 51 (17%) $=$ 5 (years) 51 (17%) Stroke 27 (9%) BMI grades (KGm/M ²) 27 (9%)	30-40 (years)	33 (11%)
51-60 (years) 126 (42%) 61-70 (years) 81 (27%) Smoking 141 (47%) Non smoker 141 (47%) Smoking 63 (21%) Ex-smoker 63 (21%) Type of treatment of DM 21 (7%) Lifestyle 61 (20%) Insulin 60 (20%) Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 102 (34%) No 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 31 (17%) No 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.66 (21.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 224 (68%) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 66 (22%) 11-15 (years) 21 (7%) BMI (KGm/M ²) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) BMI grades (KGm/M ²) 21.2 ± (0.56 (21.1-40.8)	41-50 (years)	60 (20%)
$61-70$ (years) 81 (27%) Smoking 141 (47%) Non smoker 141 (47%) Smoker 96 (32%) Ex-smoker 63 (21%) Type of treatment of DM 11 (7%) Lifestyle 21 (7%) Insulin 60 (20%) Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 12 (4%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 31 (1%) Mo 204 (68%) Yes 96 (328) Duration of diabetes ranges 51 (17%) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 66 (22%) 11-15 (years) 21 (17%) Stroke 27 (9%) BMI grades (KGm/M ²) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) BMI grades (KGm/M ²) 27 (9%) 24.9 (KGm/M ²) overweight <	51-60 (years)	126 (42%)
Smoking 141 (47%) Non smoker 141 (47%) Smoker 96 (32%) Ex-smoker 63 (21%) Type of treatment of DM 21 (7%) Insulin 60 (20%) Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 96 (32%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 31 (1%) Hypertension 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 26 (22%) 11-15 (years) 51 (17%) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) 8MI (KGm/M²) S 24.9 (KGm/M²) 27 (9%) 25 -29.9 (KGm/M²) 27 (9%) 25 -29.9 (KGm/M²) overweight 102 (34%)	61-70 (years)	81 (27%)
Non smoker 141 (47%) Smoker 96 (32%) Ex-smoker 63 (21%) Type of treatment of DM 21 (7%) Insulin 60 (20%) Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 39 (13%) No 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 39 (13%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 21 (7%) Nephropathy 3 (1%) Mo 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 264 (68%) 11-15 (years) 21 (17%) 12(years) 21 (17%) S1 (2(years) 27 (Smoking	
Smoker 96 (32%) Ex-smoker 63 (21%) Type of treatment of DM 1 Lifestyle 21 (7%) Insulin 60 (20%) Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 98 (66%) No 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 89 (13%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 3 (1%) No 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (10 (years)) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 66 (22%) 11-15 (years) 11-15 (years) 51 (17%) ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M²) 27 (9%) BMI grades (KGm/M²) 27 (9%) SMI grades (KGm/M²) 27 (9%) ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M²) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²)	Non smoker	141 (47%)
Ex-smoker 63 (21%) Type of treatment of DM 21 (7%) Lifestyle 21 (7%) Insulin 60 (20%) Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 39 (13%) No 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 39 (13%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 21 (7%) Nephropathy 3 (1%) Hypertension 204 (68%) No 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 26 (22%) 11-15 (years) 21 (7%) <15 (years)	Smoker	96 (32%)
Type of treatment of DM 21 (7%) Lifestyle 21 (7%) Insulin 60 (20%) Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 99 (13%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 102 (34%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 21 (7%) Nephropathy 31 (1%) Hypertension 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 26 (22%) 11-15 (years) 21 (7%) <15 (years)	Ex-smoker	63 (21%)
Lifestyle $21 (7\%)$ Insulin $60 (20\%)$ Oral antidiabetic $180 (60\%)$ Mixed (Insulin + oral) $39 (13\%)$ Complication of DM 198 (66%) No 198 (66%) Yes $102 (34\%)$ Classification of complication : No Neuropathy $39 (13\%)$ Stroke $12 (4\%)$ IHD $27 (9\%)$ Retinopathy $3 (1\%)$ Nephropathy $3 (1\%)$ Nephropathy $3 (1\%)$ Hypertension $204 (68\%)$ Yes $96 (32\%)$ Duration of diabetes (years) $7.73 \pm 6.76 (0.1-27)$ Duration of diabetes ranges $5 (years)$ $\leq 5 (years)$ $156 (52\%)$ $6-10 (years)$ $66 (22\%)$ $11-15 (years)$ $21 (7\%)$ $\leq 15 (years)$ $27 (9\%)$ $\leq 24.9 (KGm/M^2)$ $27 (9\%)$ $\leq 24.9 (KGm/M^2)$ $27 (9\%)$ $\leq 24.9 (KGm/M^2)$ overweight $102 (34\%)$ $\geq 30 (KGm/M^2)$ overweight $102 (34\%)$ $\geq 30 (KGm/M^2)$ overweight $102 (34\%$	Type of treatment of DM	
Insulin $60 (20\%)$ Oral antidiabetic $180 (60\%)$ Mixed (Insulin + oral) $39 (13\%)$ Complication of DM $198 (66\%)$ No $198 (66\%)$ Yes $102 (34\%)$ Classification of complication : $102 (34\%)$ Neuropathy $39 (13\%)$ Stroke $12 (4\%)$ IHD $27 (9\%)$ Retinopathy $21 (7\%)$ Nephropathy $3 (1\%)$ Hypertension $204 (68\%)$ No $204 (68\%)$ Yes $96 (32\%)$ Duration of diabetes (years) $7.73 \pm 6.76 (0.1-27)$ Duration of diabetes ranges $5 (years)$ $\leq 5 (years)$ $156 (52\%)$ $6-10 (years)$ $156 (52\%)$ $6-10 (years)$ $27 (9\%)$ $\leq 15 (years)$ $27 (9\%)$ BMI grades (KGm/M ²) $27 (9\%)$ $\leq 24.9 (KGm/M^2)$ average $27 (9\%)$ $25 -29.9 (KGm/M^2)$ average $27 (9\%)$ $25 -29.9 (KGm/M^2)$ overweight $102 (34\%)$ $\geq 30 (KGm/M^2)$ overweight $102 (34\%)$ $\geq 30 (KGm/M$	Lifestyle	21 (7%)
Oral antidiabetic 180 (60%) Mixed (Insulin + oral) 39 (13%) Complication of DM 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : Neuropathy Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 21 (7%) Nephropathy 3 (1%) Hypertension 204 (68%) No 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 51 (17%) < 15(years)	Insulin	60 (20%)
Mixed (Insulin + oral) $39 (13\%)$ Complication of DM 198 (66%) No 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 39 (13%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 3 (1%) Nephropathy 3 (1%) Hypertension 21 (7%) No 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) $7.73 \pm 6.76 (0.1-27)$ Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) $6-10$ (years) 156 (52%) $6-10$ (years) 51 (17%) < 15 (years) 27 (9%) BMI grades (KGm/M²) 27 (9%) ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) $25 - 29.9$ (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) $25 - 29.9$ (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) $25 - 29.9$ (KGm/M²) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (C	Oral antidiabetic	180 (60%)
Complication of DM 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication : 39 (13%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 31(%) Nephropathy 3 (1%) Hypertension 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 156 (52%) 11-15 (years) 51 (17%) $< 15(years)$ 27 (9%) BMI grades (KGm/M²) 27 (9%) ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M²) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) 216 (72%) < 102 (Cm) 24 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/height ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15)	Mixed (Insulin + oral)	39 (13%)
No 198 (66%) Yes 102 (34%) Classification of complication :	Complication of DM	
Yes $102 (34\%)$ Classification of complication : 39 (13%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 21 (7%) Nephropathy 3 (1%) Hypertension 3 (1%) No 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 66 (22%) 11-15 (years) 51 (17%) < 15(years)	No	198 (66%)
Classification of complication : 39 (13%) Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 21 (7%) Nephropathy 3 (1%) Hypertension 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 66 (22%) 11-15 (years) 51 (17%) <15(years)	Yes	102 (34%)
Neuropathy 39 (13%) Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 21 (7%) Nephropathy 3 (1%) Hypertension 3 (1%) No 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 \pm 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (sears) \leq 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 66 (22%) 11-15 (years) 51 (17%) < 15 (years)	Classification of complication :	
Stroke 12 (4%) IHD 27 (9%) Retinopathy 21 (7%) Nephropathy 3 (1%) Hypertension 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 \pm 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) \leq 5 (years) 156 (52%) 6-10 (years) 66 (22%) 11-15 (years) 51 (17%) < 15(years)	Neuropathy	39 (13%)
IHD $27 (9\%)$ Retinopathy $21 (7\%)$ Nephropathy $3 (1\%)$ Hypertension $204 (68\%)$ No $204 (68\%)$ Yes $96 (32\%)$ Duration of diabetes (years) $7.73 \pm 6.76 (0.1-27)$ Duration of diabetes ranges $5 (years)$ $\leq 5 (years)$ $156 (52\%)$ $6-10 (years)$ $66 (22\%)$ $11-15 (years)$ $51 (17\%)$ $< 15 (years)$ $51 (17\%)$ $< 15 (years)$ $27 (9\%)$ BMI grades (KGm/M²) $224.9 (KGm/M²)$ $\leq 24.9 (KGm/M²)$ average $27 (9\%)$ $25-29.9 (KGm/M²)$ average $27 (9\%)$ $\geq 30 (KGm/M²)$ overweight $102 (34\%)$ $\geq 30 (KGm/M²)$ obesity $171 (57\%)$ Waist circumference (Cm) $101.62 \pm 13.67 (69-135)$ Waist circumference grades (Cm) $216 (72\%)$ $< 102 (Cm)$ $84 (28\%)$ $\geq 102 (Cm)$ $216 (72\%)$ Waist/hip ratio $0.97 \pm 0.06 (0.81-1.15)$ Waist/height ratio $60.53 \pm 7.71 (43-79)$	Stroke	12 (4%)
Retinopathy $21(7\%)$ Nephropathy $3(1\%)$ Hypertension $204(68\%)$ No $204(68\%)$ Yes $96(32\%)$ Duration of diabetes (years) $7.73 \pm 6.76(0.1-27)$ Duration of diabetes ranges $5(years)$ ≤ 5 (years) $156(52\%)$ $6-10$ (years) $66(22\%)$ $11-15$ (years) $51(17\%)$ $< 15(years)$ $27(9\%)$ BMI (KGm/M²) $31.22 \pm 6.56(21.1-40.8)$ BMI grades (KGm/M²) $27(9\%)$ ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M²) average $27(9\%)$ ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M²) overweight $102(34\%)$ ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) obesity $171(57\%)$ Waist circumference (Cm) $101.62 \pm 13.67(69-135)$ Waist circumference grades (Cm) $216(72\%)$ < 102 (Cm) $84(28\%)$ ≥ 102 (Cm) $216(72\%)$ Waist/hip ratio $0.97 \pm 0.06(0.81-1.15)$ Waist/height ratio $60.53 \pm 7.71(43-79)$	IHD	27 (9%)
Nephropathy $3 (1\%)$ Hypertension $204 (68\%)$ No $204 (68\%)$ Yes $96 (32\%)$ Duration of diabetes (years) $7.73 \pm 6.76 (0.1-27)$ Duration of diabetes ranges $5 (years)$ $\leq 5 (years)$ $156 (52\%)$ $6-10 (years)$ $66 (22\%)$ $11-15 (years)$ $51 (17\%)$ $< 15(years)$ $27 (9\%)$ BMI (KGm/M²) $31.22 \pm 6.56 (21.1-40.8)$ BMI grades (KGm/M²) $27 (9\%)$ $25-29.9 (KGm/M²)$ average $27 (9\%)$ $25-29.9 (KGm/M²)$ overweight $102 (34\%)$ $\geq 30 (KGm/M²)$ obesity $171 (57\%)$ Waist circumference (Cm) $101.62 \pm 13.67 (69-135)$ Waist circumference grades (Cm) $216 (72\%)$ $< 102 (Cm)$ $216 (72\%)$ Waist/hip ratio $0.97 \pm 0.06 (0.81-1.15)$ Waist/height ratio $60.53 \pm 7 .71 (43-79)$	Retinopathy	21 (7%)
Hypertension 204 (68%) No 204 (68%) Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) 7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27) Duration of diabetes ranges 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) $6-10$ (years) 66 (22%) $11-15$ (years) 51 (17%) $< 15(years)$ 27 (9%) BMI (KGm/M²) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) BMI grades (KGm/M²) 27 (9%) $25-29.9$ (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) $25-29.9$ (KGm/M²) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) < 102 (Cm) < 102 (Cm) 84 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/hip ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 + 7.71 (43-79)	Nephropathy	3 (1%)
No $204 (68\%)$ Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) $7.73 \pm 6.76 (0.1-27)$ Duration of diabetes ranges ≤ 5 (years) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) $6-10$ (years) 66 (22%) $11-15$ (years) 51 (17%) < 15 (years) 27 (9%) BMI (KGm/M²) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) BMI grades (KGm/M²) $27 (9\%)$ $25-29.9$ (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) $25-29.9$ (KGm/M²) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) < 102 (Cm) < 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/hip ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 ± 7.71 (43-79)	Hypertension	
Yes 96 (32%) Duration of diabetes (years) $7.73 \pm 6.76 (0.1-27)$ Duration of diabetes ranges $\leq 5 (years)$ $\leq 5 (years)$ $156 (52\%)$ $6-10 (years)$ $66 (22\%)$ $11-15 (years)$ $51 (17\%)$ $< 15(years)$ $27 (9\%)$ BMI (KGm/M ²) $31.22 \pm 6.56 (21.1-40.8)$ BMI grades (KGm/M ²) $27 (9\%)$ $\leq 24.9 (KGm/M^2)$ average $27 (9\%)$ $25-29.9 (KGm/M^2)$ overweight $102 (34\%)$ $\geq 30 (KGm/M^2)$ obesity $171 (57\%)$ Waist circumference (Cm) $101.62 \pm 13.67 (69-135)$ Waist circumference grades (Cm) $84 (28\%)$ $< 102 (Cm)$ $84 (28\%)$ $\geq 102 (Cm)$ $216 (72\%)$ Waist/hip ratio $0.97 \pm 0.06 (0.81-1.15)$ Waist/height ratio $60.53 \pm 7.71 (43-79)$	No	204 (68%)
Duration of diabetes (years) $7.73 \pm 6.76 (0.1-27)$ Duration of diabetes ranges $\leq 5 (years)$ $156 (52\%)$ $6-10 (years)$ $66 (22\%)$ $11-15 (years)$ $51 (17\%)$ $< 15 (years)$ $51 (17\%)$ $27 (9\%)$ BMI (KGm/M ²) $31.22 \pm 6.56 (21.1-40.8)$ BMI grades (KGm/M ²) $27 (9\%)$ $\leq 24.9 (KGm/M2)$ average $27 (9\%)$ $25-29.9 (KGm/M2)$ overweight $102 (34\%)$ $\geq 30 (KGm/M2)$ obesity $171 (57\%)$ Waist circumference (Cm) $101.62 \pm 13.67 (69-135)$ Waist circumference grades (Cm) $<102 (Cm)$ $< 102 (Cm)$ $216 (72\%)$ Waist/hip ratio $0.97 \pm 0.06 (0.81-1.15)$ Waist/height ratio $60.53 \pm 7.71 (43-79)$	Yes	96 (32%)
Duration of diabetes ranges 156 (52%) ≤ 5 (years) 156 (52%) $6-10$ (years) 66 (22%) 11-15 (years) 51 (17%) < 15 (years) 27 (9%) BMI (KGm/M²) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) BMI grades (KGm/M²) 24.9 (KGm/M²) average ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) $25-29.9$ (KGm/M²) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) 84 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/hip ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 ± 7.71 (43-79)	Duration of diabetes (years)	7.73 ± 6.76 (0.1-27)
≤ 5 (years) 6-10 (years) 11-15 (years) ≤ 15 (years) 51 (17%) ≤ 15 (years) BMI (KGm/M2) 27 (9%) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) BMI grades (KGm/M2) ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M2) average 27 (9%) 25-29.9 (KGm/M2) average 27 (9%) 25-29.9 (KGm/M2) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M2) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) < 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/height ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 ± 7.71 (43-79)	Duration of diabetes ranges	
$6-10$ (years) 66 (22%) $11-15$ (years) 51 (17%) < 15 (years) 27 (9%) BMI (KGm/M²) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) BMI grades (KGm/M²) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) BMI grades (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) obesity 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) <102 (Cm) < 102 (Cm) 84 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/hip ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 ± 7.71 (43-79)	≤ 5 (years)	156 (52%)
$11-15$ (years) 51 (17%) < 15 (years) 27 (9%) BMI (KGm/M²) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) BMI grades (KGm/M²) 31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8) BMI grades (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M²) average 27 (9%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M²) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) <102 (Cm) < 102 (Cm) 84 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/hip ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 ± 7.71 (43-79)	6-10 (years)	66 (22%)
< 15(years)	11-15 (years)	51 (17%)
BMI (KGm/M ²) $31.22 \pm 6.56 (21.1-40.8)$ BMI grades (KGm/M ²) 2 ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M ²) average 27 (9%) $25-29.9 (KGm/M2)$ overweight 102 (34%) $\geq 30 (KGm/M2)$ obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) 84 (28%) $\geq 102 (Cm)$ 216 (72%) Waist/hip ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 + 7 71 (43-79)	< 15(years)	27 (9%)
BMI grades (KGm/M ²) 27 (9%) ≤ 24.9 (KGm/M ²) average 27 (9%) 25-29.9 (KGm/M ²) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M ²) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) 84 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 84 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 ± 7.71 (43-79)	BMI (KGm/M ²)	31.22 ± 6.56 (21.1-40.8)
≤ 24.9 (KGm/M2) average 27 (9%) 25-29.9 (KGm/M2) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M2) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) < 102 (Cm) 84 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/height ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 ± 7.71 (43-79)	BMI grades (KGm/M ²)	
25-29.9 (KGm/M ²) overweight 102 (34%) ≥ 30 (KGm/M ²) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) 4 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 84 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/hip ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 ± 7.71 (43-79)	$\leq 24.9 (\text{KGm/M}^2)$ average	27 (9%)
≥ 30 (KGm/M2) obesity 171 (57%) Waist circumference (Cm) 101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135) Waist circumference grades (Cm) < 102 (Cm) 84 (28%) ≥ 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/hip ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 ± 7.71 (43-79)	25-29.9 (KGm/M ²) overweight	102 (34%)
Waist circumference (Cm) $101.62 \pm 13.67 (69-135)$ Waist circumference grades (Cm) $84 (28\%)$ $\geq 102 (Cm)$ $216 (72\%)$ Waist/hip ratio $0.97 \pm 0.06 (0.81-1.15)$ Waist/height ratio $60.53 \pm 7.71 (43-79)$	\geq 30 (KGm/M ²) obesity	171 (57%)
Waist circumference grades (Cm) $84 (28\%)$ $< 102 (Cm)$ $216 (72\%)$ $\geq 102 (Cm)$ $0.97 \pm 0.06 (0.81-1.15)$ Waist/height ratio $60.53 \pm 7.71 (43-79)$	Waist circumference (Cm)	101.62 ± 13.67 (69-135)
< 102 (Cm)	Waist circumference grades (Cm)	
≥ 102 (Cm) 216 (72%) Waist/hip ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 + 7.71 (43-79)	< 102 (Cm)	84 (28%)
Waist/hip ratio 0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15) Waist/height ratio 60.53 + 7 71 (43-79)	≥ 102 (Cm)	216 (72%)
Waist/height ratio 60.53 + 7 71 (43-79)	Waist/hip ratio	0.97 ± 0.06 (0.81-1.15)
	Waist/height ratio	60.53 ± 7.71 (43-79)

BMI: Body mass index, KGm: Kilogram, M: Meter, CM: Centimeter.

were in age group 41-60 years with p value 0.009, 43.3% of hypogonadal group were smokers with p value 0.05, and 25% sere Ex-smoker, 68.8% of the hypogonadal group were on oral treatment of diabetes mellitus (p=0.05), 18.8% were on insulin, 56.3% of hypogonadal group were diabetic 6-10 years with (p=0.001), 81.3% of hypogonadal group were obese with (p=0.001). The group of hypogonadism show higher BMI, waist circumference grades, waist/hip ratio and waist/height ratio with (p=0.001, =0.008, >0.0001, =0.03) respectively (Table 2).

In term of complications of diabetes mellitus, our results demonstrated no significant differences between the two studied groups (Table 3). Table 4 shows the comparison between routine investigations and hypogonadism of hypogonadism according to (Total Testosterone + ADAM +ve) versus those without hypogonadism: It was significant in urea, creatinine, eGFR, SGPT with p value (0.01, >0.0001, 0.02, >0.0001). As regard glycemic control non of our hypogonadal patients have HbA1c >7%, but in the non hypogonadal

Table 2: Sociodemographic characteristics in type 2 DM patients with hypogonadism according to (<u>Total Testosterone</u> + ADAM +ve) versus those without hypogonadism	sm.
--	-----

Socio-demographic characteristics	Hypogonadism N=48	No hypogonadism N=252	p-value
Age (years)	52.87 ± 9.03	54.76 ± 9.52	0.2
Age groups 31-40 (years) 41-50 (years) 51-60 (years) 61-70 (years)	3 (6.3%) 18 (37.5%) 15 (31.3%) 12 (25%)	30 (11.9%) 42 (16.7%) 111 (44%) 69 (27.4%)	0.009*
Smoking Non smoker Smoker Ex-smoker	15 (31.3%) 21 (43.8%) 12 (25%)	126 (50%) 75 (29.8%) 51 (20.2%)	0.05
Type of treatment of DM Lifestyle Insulin Oral Mixed	0 (0%) 6 (12.5%) 33 (68.8%) 9 (18.8%)	21 (8.3%) 54 (21.4%) 147 (58.3%) 30 (11.9%)	0.05
Complications of DM No Yes	33 (68.75%) 15 (31.25%)	165 (65.5%) 87 (34.5%)	0.01*
Hypertension No Yes	33 (68.8%) 15 (31.2%)	171 (67.9%) 81 (32.1%)	0.9
Duration of diabetes (years)	7.15± 3.73	7.84± 7.20	0.5
Duration of diabetes ranges ≤ 5 (years) 6-10 (years) 11-15 (years) < 15(years)	15 (31.2%) 27 (56.3%) 6 (12.5%) 0 (0%)	141 (56%) 39 (15.5%) 45 (17.9%) 27 (10.6%)	>0.0001*
BMI (KGm/M ²)	32.97 ± 7.88	30.89 ± 6.24	0.04*
BMI grades (KGm/M ²) ≤ 24.9 Average 25-29.9 Overweight ≥ 30 Obesity	0 (0%) 9 (18.8%) 39 (81.3%)	27 (10.7%) 93 (36.9%) 132 (52.4%)	0.001*
Waist circumference grades (cm) < 102 ≥ 102	6 (12.5%) 42 (87.5%)	78 (31%) 174 (69%)	0.008*
Waist/hip ratio	1.01 ± 0.07	0.96 ± 0.05	<0.0001*
Waist/height ratio	62.70 ± 4.68	60.11± 8.10	0.03*

*Significant level of p-value is < 0.05

* p value of frequency was calculated by using chi-square test.

* p value of means was calculated by using independent sample t-test.

Table 3: The classification of complications between the hypogonadism according to (<u>Total Testosterone</u> + ADAM +ve) versus those without hypogonadism.

Diabetic complications	Hypogonadism N=48	No hypogonadism N=252	p-value
All number of patients complaint of complications	15(31.25%)	87 (34.5%)	
Neuropathy	6 (12.5%)	33 (13.09%)	0.9
Stroke	3 (6.25%)	9 (3.57%)	0.4
Ischemic heart disease	3 (6.25%)	24 (9.52%)	0.6
Retinopathy	3 (6.25%)	18 (7.14%)	0.8
Nephropathy	0 (0%)	3(1.19%)	0.4

group 33.3% have HbA1c >7 and 66.7% have HbA1c <7%, with p value 0.0001. Regarding the relation between specific investigations and hypogonadism according to total testosterone it was significance in free testosterone, total testosterone, FSH, ADAM score with p value of 0.001, > 0.0001, 0.003, > 0.0001 respectively (Table 5).

affecting Hypogonadism among patients according to (Total Testosterone + ADAM +ve) versus those without hypogonadism it was found that age, random blood sugar, body mass index, HbA1c are independent risk factors for the development of hypogonadism with odds ratio (0.95, 1, 1.1, 1.37) with p value (0.02, 0.03, 0. 03, 0.008) respectively.

Table 6 show	s multiple	logistic	regression	analysis	for	factors	
--------------	------------	----------	------------	----------	-----	---------	--

Table 4: comparison of Routine investigations in hypogonadism group according to (Total	<u>Testosterone</u> + ADAM +ve) versus those without hypogonadism.
---	--

Routine investigations	Hypogonadism N=48	No hypogonadism N=252	p-value
Urea (mg/dL)	36.87 ± 9.71	32.42 ± 11.28	0.01*
Creatinine(mg/dL)	1.08 ± 0.19	0.95 ± 0.22	<0.0001*
eGFR(ml/min/1.73 M ²)	82.96 ± 19.95	89.79 ± 18.57	0.02*
SGOT(Iu/L)	23.56 ± 11.95	24.20 ± 15.73	0.7
SGPT (Iu/L)	27.68 ± 13.24	20.01 ± 8	<0.0001*
HbA1C (%)	10.01 ± 1.60	8.39 ± 2.17	<0.0001*
HbA1C ranges (%) < 7 ≥ 7	0 (0%) 48 (100%)	84 (33.3%) 168 (66.7%)	<0.0001*
HDL (mg/dL)	35.37 ± 6.40	37.34 ± 9.57	0.2
LDL (mg/dL)	149.43 ± 41.47	142.27 ± 42.69	0.3
TGS (mg/dL)	180.93 ± 51.75	202.05 ± 85.64	0.1
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	223.43 ± 41.01	224.96 ± 45.70	0.8

*Significant level of p- value is < 0.05.

*p value of means was calculated by using independent sample t-test.

Table 5: relation between specific investigations and hypogonadism according to Total testosterone of the studied group.

Specific investigations	Hypogonadism N=48	No hypogonadism N=252	p-value
Free testosterone (pg/mL)	5.58 ± 3.25	7.42 ± 3.62	0.001*
Total testosterone (nmol/L)	9.09 ± 2.87	26.68 ± 12.63	<0.0001*
FSH(IU/L)	8.42 ± 4.26	9.25 ± 4.68	0.003*
LH(IU/L)	11 ± 5.45	12.72 ± 6.06	0.06
ADAM score Positive Negative	48 (100%) 0(0%)	150 (59.5%) 102 (40.5%)	<0.0001*
Total Testosterone level Normal Low	0 (0%) 48 (100%)	243 (96.4%) 9 (3.6%)	<0.0001*

*Significant level of p- value is < 0.05.

*p value of frequency was calculated by using chi-square test.

*p value of means was calculated by using independent sample t-test.

Table 6: Multiple logistic regression analysis for factors affecting Hypogonadism among patients according to (Total Testosterone + ADAM +ve) versus those without hypogonadism.

Independent variables	Adjusted odds for multivariate (95% CI)	P-value
Age (years)	0.95 (0.91-0.99)	0.02*
Random blood sugar (mg/dL)	1 (1-1.01)	0.03*
BMI(KGm/M ²)	1.1 (1-1.19)	0.03*
Waist circumference (Cm)	1 (0.96-1.05)	0.8
HbA1c (%)	1.37 (1.08-1.74)	0.008*
HDL(mg/dL)	0.95 (0.91-1)	0.06
TGS (mg/dL)	0.99 (0.99-1)	0.2
FSH (IU/L)	0.94 (0.88-1)	0.08
LH (IU/L)	0.99 (0.91-1.08)	0.8

Figure 1 shows the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis of demonstration of the accuracy of indices and cut off values for the studied total testosterone for predicting the hypogonadism according to total testosterone + ADAM score positive: The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.98 « p-value <0.0001» with sensitivity 100% and specificity of 96.4% at Cut off value ≤ 12 . Figure 2 shows the ROC analysis of demonstration of the accuracy of indices and cut off values for the ADAM score for predicting the hypogonadism according to total testosterone + ADAM score positive: The AUC was 0.70 « p-value <0.0001» with sensitivity 100% and specificity of 40.5% at Cut off value<0.

Figure 3 shows the ROC analysis of demonstration of the accuracy of indices and cut off values for the studied HbA1c for predicting the hypogonadism according to total testosterone + ADAM score positive: The AUC was 0.72 « p-value <0.0001» with sensitivity 87.5% and specificity of 58.3% at Cut off value < 8.7. Figure 4 shows the ROC analysis of demonstration of the accuracy of indices and cut off values for the studied FSH for predicting the hypogonadism according to total testosterone + ADAM score positive: The AUC was 0.60 « p-value=0.02» with sensitivity 75% and specificity of 48.8% at Cut off value < 17.

Figure 5 shows the ROC analysis of demonstration of the accuracy of indices and cut off values for the studied eGFR for predicting the hypogonadism according to free testosterone + ADAM score positive: The AUC was 0.39 « p-value 0.003» with sensitivity 53.6% and specificity of 37% at Cut off value < 82.5.



Figure 1: Roc curve analysis of total testosterone level in hypogonadism according to <u>Total</u> testosterone.



Figure 2: Roc curve analysis of ADAM score in hypogonadism according to <u>Total</u> testosterone.



Figure 3: Roc curve analysis of HbA1c level in hypogonadism according to $\underline{\text{Total}}$ testosterone.



Figure 4: Roc curve analysis of FSH level in hypogonadism according to Total Testosterone.



Diagonal segments are produced by ties.

Figure 5: Roc curve analysis of e GFR in hypogonadism according to Free Testosterone.

Discussion

Male hypogonadism is a common disease characterized by certain clinical features and low levels of serum testosterone. Its typical clinical manifestations include physical decline, memory loss, difficulty paying attention, depression, loss of libido, and erectile dysfunction. It significantly impacts patients' quality of life [8]. Recently, studies have shown that hypogonadism is closely related to the development of diabetes [9]. It has been confirmed that male patients with T2D are significantly more likely to develop hypogonadism: the proportions of diabetes patients with low total testosterone levels are 36.5% [10]. Male hypogonadism seriously affects the quality of life in patients with diabetes [11,12]. So far, it is unclear which correlates of diabetes are associated with hypogonadism. Therefore, it is especially important to explore the risk factors for hypogonadism to facilitate prevention, diagnosis, and early treatment.

The current study was designed to evaluate the prevalence and risk factors o hypogonadism in patients with T2D among the egyptian population by using an ADAM questionnaire with the use both total and free testosterone level (≤ 12 nmol, ≤ 5.7). We studied 300 male diabetic, according total testosterone level it showed that the prevalence of hypogonadism was 24.2% with of whom 56.3% was secondary hypogonadism and 43.7% was primary hypogonadism, according to free testosterone level it was found that the prevalence of hypogonadism was 42.42%, and secondary hypogonadism and 42.9% was primary hypogonadism. Similar to our results, the study of Dhindsa et al. [13] which was conducted in 103 patients by hypogonadism (33% in patients aged 28e80 years) and they reported that their high prevalence might be attributed to a higher mean BMI, Multicentre study was done in India reported a hypogonadism prevalence of 20.7% among patients with diabetes mellitus [4].

In our study we found that the prevalence of hypogonadism was higher with free testosterone cut of level (≤5.7 pg/mL) in comparison to total testosterone (≤12 nmol/L), similar to our findings the study of Rhoden et al. [14] which was cross-sectional study from Brazil who reported that that free and total testosterone levels were subnormal in 46% and 34% of diabetics respectively. In the present study hypogonadotropic hypogonadism is the predominant type of hypogonadism in our diabetic subjects. As, 43.7% had primary hypogonadism (LH > 10 MIU/ML) and 56.3% had secondary hypogonadism (LH < 10 MIU/ML); Similar to our finding, the study of Chandel et al. [15] found that LH and FSH concentrations in type 2 diabetic patients with low free testosterone concentrations were in the normal range. Tenover et al. [16] found that the majority of hypogonadal men over the age of 60 had low, or inappropriately normal LH levels. In contrary to our results the study of Ali et al. [17] who found high serum and urinary FSH and LH among diabetics with low serum total and serum free testosterone levels and Kapoor et al. [18] who found that 7% had hypogonadotropic hypogonadism.

Regarding to risk factors for development of hypogonadism, the present study should that age is important risk for development of hypogonadism. A higher prevalence of low total testosterone (69%) was seen in men aged between 60 and 70 years. This finding in agreement Grossmann et al. [19] who reported that 43% of men of the same age had low total testosterone. Many studies reported that the fraction of diabetic men with a subnormal level of total testosterone increased with age [20-22]. Although we found that lower testosterone level was found in older age groups but univariant relationship between

total tesosterone and age is not there in similar studies conducted in South Africa and New York [13,23]. In contrast to this study, a study conducted in Jordan reported a significant positive correlation of age with TT [24]. Studies in England and Nigeria reported the presence of a significant negative correlation between age and TT level [25,26]. The most possible explanation for these inconsistencies is that serum hormone binding globulin (SHBG), which accounts for 60-80% of testosterone binding, increases with age. Yet low levels of SHBG may occur in the presence of insulin resistance, thus resulting in a decrease in TT levels. Therefore, in the absence of the assessment of bioavailable testosterone levels, the degree to which this confounder (SHBG) affected our results if at all is difficult to speculate on [27]. In the present study, there was significant association between the serum testosterone level and HbA1c concentration. This finding is consistent with the results obtained by other study Kapoor et al. [25], Our findings also contradict the finding of the study undertaken by Fukui et al. [22], who found that total testosterone concentrations correlated positively with HbA1c concentrations while opposing what was found by Grossmann et al. [21], and Dandona et al. [28].

In the present study, we observed negative association of serum testosterone levels with blood glucose markers including HbA1c values, which is in consistent with the studies of Fukui et al. [22], Rabia et al. [29], and Laaksonen et al. [30] where serum testosterone levels were shown to have negative association with glucose markers, Not only HbA1c levels but Insulin resistance indicators as BMI, waist/hip ratio and waist/height ratio among males have also been found to be related with lower levels of testosterone levels. Many such studies have confirmed that insulin resistance is found to be associated with low serum testosterone levels. The reason would be that the testosterone regulates GLUT-4 gene expression and other genes important for insulin signaling. Lower testosterone levels leads to decrease in the expression of GLUT-4 levels in muscles so reduction in the glycolytic enzyme activity in muscle, liver and abdominal adipose tissues [31,32]. Testosterone reduction also causes dysregulation of lipid metabolism which also increases the risk of developing diabetes [33,34].

Conclusion

Several risk factors of diabetes are associated closely with hypogonadism. Age, BMI, blood sugar, and Hb A1c are independent risk factors for the development of hypogonadism in male patients with T2D.

References

- Powers AC Diabetes Mellitus: Diagnosis, Classification, and Pathophysiology In: Longo DL, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Jameson JL, Loscalzo J: Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 19th ed, New York: McGraw-Hill; 2015;Ch.417: 2399-2406.
- Jones TH, Arver S, Behre HM, Buvat J, Meuleman E, et al. (2011) TIMES2 Investigators. Testosterone replacement in hypogonadal men with type 2 diabetes and/or metabolic syndrome (the TIMES 2 study). *Diabetes Care* 34: 828-837. [crossref]
- Dandona P, Rosenberg MT (2010) A practical guide to male hypogonadism in the primary care setting. *Int J Clin Pract* 64: 682-696. [crossref]
- Agarwal PK, Singh P, Chowdhury S, Sharma SK, Majumdar A, et al. (2017) A study to evaluate the prevalence of hypogonadism in Indian males with Type-2 diabetes mellitus. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab* 21: 64-70. [crossref]

- Jones TH (2007) Testosterone associations with erectile dysfunction, diabetes and metabolic syndrome. *Eur Urol Suppl* 6: 847-857.
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes. Diabetes Care 36: S11-S66.
- Salonia A, Rastrelli G, Hackett G, Seminara SB, Huhtaniemi IT, et al. (2019) Paediatric and adult-onset male hypogonadism. Nat Rev Dis Primers 5: 38. [crossref]
- 8. Basaria (2014) "Male hypogonadism," The Lancet 383: 1250-1263.
- Bhasin S, Brito JP, Cunningham GR, et al. (2018) Testosterone therapy in men with hypogonadism: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 103: 1715-1744. [crossref]
- Al Hayek AA, Robert AA, Alshammari G, Hakami H, Al Dawish MA (2017) Assessment of hypogonadism in men with type 2 diabetes: a cross-sectional study from Saudi Arabia. *Clin Med Insights Endocrinol Diabetes* 10. [crossref]
- Portillo-Sanchez, F Bril, M Maximos, Lomonaco R, Biernacki D, et al. (2015) "High prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and normal plasma aminotransferase levels," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 100: 2231-2238. [crossref]
- 12. Yaturu (2009) "Diabetes and skeletal health," Journal of Diabetes 4: 246-254.
- Dhindsa S, Prabhakar S, Sethi M, Bandyopadhyay A, Chaudhuri A, et al. (2004) Frequent occurrence of hypogonadotropic hypogonadism in type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 89: 5462-5468. [crossref]
- Rhoden EL, Ribeiro EP, Teloken C, Souto CA (2005) Diabetes mellitus is associated with subnormal serum levels of free testosterone in men. BJU Int 96: 867-870. [crossref]
- Chandel A, Dhindsa S, Topiwala S, Chaudhuri A, Dandona P (2008) Testosterone concentration in young patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 31: 2013-2017. [crossref]
- Tenover JS, Matsumoto AM, Plymate SR, Bremner WJ (1987) The effects of ageing in normal men on bioavailable testosterone and leuteinizing hormone secretion: response to clomiphene citrate. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 65: 1118-1126. [crossref]
- Ali ST, Shaikh RN, Ashfaqsiddiqi N, Siddiqi PQ (1993) Serum and urinary levels of pituitary-gonadal hormones in insulin-dependent and non-insulin dependent diabetic males with and without neuropathy. *Arch Androl* 30: 117-123. [crossref]
- Kapoor D, Goodwin E, Channer KS, Jones TH (2006) Testosterone replacement therapy improves insulin resistance, glycaemic control, visceral adiposity and hypercholesterolaemia in hypogonadal men with type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Endocrinol* 154: 899-906. [crossref]
- Grossmann M, Thomas MC, Panagiotopoulos S, Sharpe K, MacIsaac RJ, et al. (2008) Low testosterone levels are common and associated with insulin resistance in men with diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 93: 1834-1840. [crossref]
- Corrales JJ, Burgo RM, Garca-Berrocal B, Almeida M, Alberca I, et al. (2004) Partial androgen deficiency in aging type 2 diabetic men and its relationship to glycemic control. *Metabolism* 53: 666-672. [crossref]
- Grossmann M, Panagiotopolous S, Sharpe K, MacIsaac RJ, Clarke S, et al. (2009) Low testosterone and anaemia in men with type 2 diabetes. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 70: 547-553. [crossref]

- Fukui M, Soh J, Tanaka M, Kitagawa Y, Hasegawa G, et al. (2007) Low serum testosterone concentration in middle-aged men with type 2 diabetes. *Endocrine J* 54: 871-877. [crossref]
- Kempa T, Rheedera P (2015) The prevalence and association of low testosterone levels in a South African male, diabetic, urban population. J Endocrinol Metab Diabetes S Afr 20: 92-97.
- Ogbera OA, Sonny C, Olufemi F, Wale A (2011) Hypogonadism and subnormal total testosterone levels in men with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 21: 517-521. [crossref]
- Kapoor D, Aldred H, Clark S, Channer KS, Jones TH (2007) Clinical and biochemical assessment of hypogonadism in men with type 2 diabetes: correlations with bioavailable testosterone and visceral adiposity. *Diabetes Care* 30: 911-917. [crossref]
- Ugwu TE, Ikem RT, Kolawole BA, Ezeani IU (2016) Clinicopathologic assessment of hypogonadism in men with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab* 20: 667-673. [crossref]
- Corona G, Mannucci E, Schulman C, Petrone L, Mansani R, et al. (2006) Psychobiologic correlates of the metabolic syndrome and associated sexual dysfunction. *Eur Urol* 50: 595-604. [crossref]
- Dandona P, Dhindsa S, Chauduri A, Bhatia V, Topiwala S (2008) Hypogonadotropic hypogonadism in type 2 diabetes. *Ageing Male* 11: 107-117.
- Rabia Farooq, Bhat MH, Majid S, Mir MM (2020) "Association of T2DM with Lowering of Testosterone Levels among Kashmiri Males". *EC Pharmacology and Toxicology* 6: 19-26. [crossref]
- Laaksonen DE, Niskanen L, Punnonen K, Nyyssönen K, Tuomainen TP, et al. (2005) "The metabolic syndrome and smoking in relation to hypogonadism in middleaged men: a prospective cohort study". *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 90: 712-719. [crossref]
- Holmang A, Larsson BM, Brzezinska Z, Björntorp P (1992) "Effects of short-term testosterone exposure on insulin sensitivity of muscles in female rats". *American Journal of Physiology* 262: E851-E855. [crossref]
- Kelly DM, Akhtar S, Sellers DJ, Muraleedharan V, Channer KS, et al. (2016) "Testosterone differentially regulates targets of lipid and glucose metabolism in liver, muscle and adipose tissues of the testicular feminised mouse". *Endocrine* 54: 504-515. [crossref]
- 33. Haring R, Baumeister SE, Völzke H, Dörr M, Felix SB, et al. (2011) "Prospective association of low total testosterone concentrations with an adverse lipid profile and increased incident dyslipidemia". *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation* 18: 86-96. [crossref]
- 34. Senmaru T, Fukui M, Okada H, Mineoka Y, Yamazaki M, et al. (2013) "Testosterone deficiency induces markedly decreased serum triglycerides, increased small dense LDL, and hepatic steatosis mediated by dysregulation of lipid assembly and secretion in mice fed a high-fat diet". *Metabolism-Clinical and Experimental* 62: 851-860. [crossref]

Citation:

Elsagheer GM, Hussen E, Ahmed AK, Abdelfadel A (2023) Prevalance and Risk Factors of Hypogonadism in Male Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in El Minya, Egypt. *Endocrinol Diabetes Metab J* Volume 7(1): 1-7.