**Introduction**

It is estimated that each year about 1.2 million people experience a new or recurrent stroke in Europe and 700,000 in the United States [1,2], making it by far the most common cause of long-term disability [3,4]. About one-third of stroke survivors are functionally dependent at one year post stroke and are unable to walk without assistance; and of those who are independent ambulators, 60% of them experience limitations in community ambulation [5,6].

Walking and balance disorders have been reported to be common impairments in people with stroke [5,7,8], and are frequently the common cause of long-term disability and handicap, which contributes to overall increased risk of falls [7]. Improving walking and balance has been the primary goal in the rehabilitation of patients with stroke [4]. Many of the traditional neurofacilitation approaches have been designed to target walking and balance, with many of these approaches focused on managing impairments [9]. Since improvements in impairments may not be generalized to function, the effectiveness of these approaches in improving walking and balance is limited [9].

Current evidence suggests that rehabilitation training is most effective when the related task is specific to the intended outcome [9], and the training allows patients to perform activities in real-time situations [10]. Numerous recent studies have demonstrated that task-oriented training is a critical rehabilitation strategy to improve
the functional status of individuals with stroke [11-16]. A published systematic review [17] analyzed 151 trials on the effects of physical therapy on functional outcomes in patients with stroke and found that there is strong evidence that patients with stroke benefit from task-oriented training intervention in which functional tasks are directly trained [17].

Relatively, very few studies have explored the effects of task-oriented training on walking and balance in individuals with chronic stroke. A Cochrane review conducted by Pollack et al. [18] reported that there is a need for high quality randomized trials to determine the efficacy of task-oriented training. Although improvements in walking and balance in people with stroke have been reported as the results of participation in a task-oriented program over a period of time, evidence regarding the immediate effect of a task-oriented training on walking and balance has not been reported in the literature. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine the immediate effects of task-oriented training on walking and balance in people with chronic strokes.

Methods

Study Design

A randomized controlled trial with pre-training and post-training evaluations was used. All participants completed the pre-training evaluation and then were randomly assigned to the experimental (n=6) or control (n=4) group. The randomization process was carried out by a person independent of the study. The investigator who collected the data is a physical therapist who is a Neurology Certified Clinical Specialist with 12 years of clinical experience working with patients with neurological disorders.

Participants

The participants included ten community-dwelling individuals with a first-time stroke who were recruited from outpatient physical therapy clinic in a university setting. The volunteer convenience sample was selected based on the following criteria: (1) a stroke experienced more than 6 months prior to study enrollment; (2) age between 35 and 80 years; (3) able to walk 10 meters independently with or without walking aids or orthotics; and (4) able to follow verbal commands. Exclusion criteria included: (1) debilitating illness before or during the study; (2) surgical procedure up to six months prior to the study; (3) inability to follow commands; or (4) a medical condition that precluded exercising. All subjects were blinded to their group assignment. All the assessment and intervention procedures were carried in the same treatment area. After having been informed about the study procedures, each participant signed an informed consent before enrolling in the study. The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of …………… BLINDED……………….. University.

Examination

Subjects were evaluated twice, immediately before (pre-test) and immediately after (post-test) the intervention. The outcome measures included a self-paced walk over the GAITRite system, the “Timed Up & Go” Test (TUG), and the Berg Balance Scale (BBS).

GAITRite System

Temporal and spatial parameters of walking were measured using the GAITRite system (CIR Systems, Havertown, PA). The GAITRite system is a computerized system developed to measure and record temporal and spatial walking parameters. The GAITRite system uses an electronic walkway approximately four meters long with grids of embedded pressure sensors that detect footfalls as the subject walks the length of the walkway. It is a valid and reliable clinical measure for spatial and temporal walking parameters [19]. Each subject was instructed and then asked to practice one walking trial before the actual data were collected. Each subject was instructed to walk over the walkway at a comfortable walking speed. Subjects started walking at a point one meter in front of the walkway and stopped at a point one meter behind the walkway to eliminate the effects of acceleration and deceleration. Subjects who were using walking aids were allowed to use their preferred walking aid. Temporal and spatial parameters of walking including walking speed and stride length were recorded.

The “Timed Up & Go” Test

The TUG is a performance-based test designed to assess functional mobility. The TUG test is a useful tool to monitor the progress of mobility function in ambulant patients with chronic stroke. This test demonstrated good (ICC=.99) and interrater (ICC=.99) reliability as well as validity for people after stroke [20]. The examiner first demonstrated how to perform the TUG test and then instructed the participant to rise from a standard armchair, walk to a line on the floor three meters away, cross the line, turn, walk back to the chair, and sit down again while being timed with a stopwatch. Each participant was allowed to practice one trial before the actual data were collected. Participants performed the test at their own pace, and those who were using walking aids were allowed to use their preferred walking aid.

Berg Balance Test

The BBS was identified as one of the most commonly used assessment tools to measure balance function across the continuum of stroke rehabilitation [20,21]. The BBS is a task-oriented performance-based test that has been used to assess balance function in people after stroke and evaluate response to treatment. The scale consists of 14 functional tasks frequently performed in everyday life. The examiner first demonstrated good (ICC=.99) and interrater (ICC=.99) reliability (ICC=.97) for people with stroke [20,21].

Intervention

Both groups received a single session (60 minutes in length) of physical therapy intervention designed to improve walking and balance. Subjects in the control group received conventional intervention focusing on improving walking and balance through facilitation and normalization of movement patterns. Subjects in the experimental group practiced task-oriented activities that focused on walking and balance. The task-oriented training included overground walking, standing up, sit to stand, rising from a chair then walking a short distance, performing step-ups/step-downs, stepping forward, backwards and sideways, walking through an obstacle course, walking while carrying an object,
walking at maximal speed, walking backwards and sideways, tandem
stance, single leg stance, and functional weight shifting exercises. In all
cases, activities were set up on an individual basis and were adapted
to the individual’s performance and ability. Assistance or manual
guidance was provided, if required, and participants received feedback
as needed. Participants were allowed to use their assistive devices as
needed. Throughout the therapy session, the therapist emphasized the
importance of speed, the number of repetitions was modified according
to the participants’ ability. During the testing time, participants were
allowed to rest as needed.

Data Analysis

Frequency distributions as well as means and standard deviations
were used for descriptive purposes. At the baseline, differences in
age and time since the onset of stroke between the two groups were
analyzed with an independent 2-sample t-test. Differences in gender
and side of hemiplegia were compared between intervention groups
using chi-square. The 2-way repeated measure analysis of variance
(ANOVA) for repeated measures on the time factor was used to
compare the difference between groups (experimental and control)
and timing (preintervention, postintervention) for each studied
outcome. The alpha level of statistical significance was set at p<0.05.
The SPSS statistical software package was used for the analysis.

Results

Ten subjects participated in this study. Participant demographic
information, group assignment, side of stroke, and time since
strokes are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant
differences between groups at baseline with respect to demographic,
characteristics, or outcome measures. Table 2 shows the group means,
and standard deviations of the pre and post scores for time and
distance walking parameters, the TUG scores, and the Berg Balance
Scale scores. Analysis of the data indicates that the experimental group
performed significantly better than the control group with respect
to walking speed (p<0.001), and stride length (p<0.001) (Figure 1).
Following the intervention, the task-oriented training group exhibited
statistically significant (p<0.04) decrease in TUG scores compared
with the control group (Figure 2). There was no significant difference
in BBS between the two groups after intervention.

Table 1: Subject demographics, characteristics and group assignments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Side of Hemiplegia</th>
<th>Time Since Stroke (month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Pre-test, post-test, and change scores, means, standard deviations, and mean changes by group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Change scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>Pre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking speed (m/s)</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stride length (m)</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUG (s)</td>
<td>60.8 ± 10.6</td>
<td>55.67 ± 10.83</td>
<td>58.7 ± 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBS</td>
<td>32.5 ± 4.85</td>
<td>35.5 ± 6.06</td>
<td>34.5 ± 5.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TUG: Timed “Up & Go” test; BBS: Berg Balance Scale.
Knox et al. and Malik et al. [11,14,22] who examined control groups. This result came in agreement with the finding of oriented training. Malik et al. [22] reported improvements in four weeks of task-oriented training, TUG scores following participation in Leroux et al. [14] concluded that task-oriented exercise program significantly improved the TUG scores in subjects with stroke. In contrast to the improvement in the TUG scores in the present study, Chan et al. Salbach et al. and Dean et al. [13,15,16] reported that task-oriented training did not have statistically significant improvement in the TUG scores. In the Dean et al. study [16], the results reflect a ceiling effect for this measurement tool for two subjects from the experimental group (N=5), these two subjects were able to obtain scores comparable with healthy subjects; in contrast, one subject from the control group (N=4) improved by 10 seconds and this may account for lack of significance in the TUG scores in the Dean et al. study [16]. Several factors may account for the improvements in the TUG scores in the task-oriented training group. Perhaps the most important aspect of task-oriented training is the specificity of training, the task-oriented training in the present study involved rising from a chair and walking this activity which was similar to testing conditions during training was specifically targeting the TUG scores, and may account for the improvement seen in this variable. In addition, previous studies [21,23] showed that there is high correlation between the TUG scores and walking speed in patients with chronic stroke. This high correlation is not surprising since TUG test incorporates walking speed. Given the association between walking speed and the TUG, improvements in walking speed were partially responsible for the improvement seen in the TUG scores in the experimental group.

Although changes in the BBS scores were not statistically significant between the study groups, there were trends toward greater gains in the balance scores in the experimental group compared with the control group. This result is similar to the findings of Salbach et al. study [15] who found no significant effects of task-oriented training on BBS. This is in contrast with the results of Knox et al. Chan et al. and Leroux et al. [11,13,14] who reported significant improvement in the balance following task-oriented training. The differences in the amount of practice and stages of recovery between the present study and Chan et al. and Leroux et al. [13,14] studies may be responsible for the lack of significance in the BBS reported in the present study. However, given the small number of subjects, lack of statistical significance between groups in regard to the BBS scores may be due to a lack of statistical power rather than a lack of effect.

Evidence has shown that task-oriented training is an effective intervention strategy to promote meaningful improvement in motor function [14,18,24]. The effect of intervention involving task-oriented training is highly specific and the effectiveness of the training is related to the training parameters used with the maximum training occurs when training activities are similar to real-life tasks [18,22,25]. The intervention activities used in this study were specific and included walking and balance activities. The intervention activities used in the task-oriented group allowed the participants to practice functional tasks used in everyday activities, in real-time situation taking into consideration normal biomechanics, strength, balance, and lower limb function. The improvements in walking and balance may be related to the specificity of training used in this study.
In interpreting the results of this study, several limitations must be considered. The sample size was small in the current study. The results of this study are preliminary for this small sample size, limiting external validity. There was potential for bias since the researcher was not masked to the group assignments. In the present study we did not consider a single-session of task-oriented training to be a major study weakness, because the purpose of the present study was to determine the immediate effects of the task-oriented training, rather than the additive effects of multiple sessions.

The findings of this study demonstrated that task-oriented walking and balance intervention improved walking function in people after stroke. The application of task-specific activities may promote improvements in spatial and temporal walking parameters and functional mobility. The results of the present study suggest that people with chronic stroke may benefit from a task-oriented training program. Further research with a larger sample size is warranted to see if the results of the current study can be replicated. Further study is needed to document the efficacy of a task-oriented home program in people after stroke. Further research is necessary to document the effect of multi-sessions and different schedules of task-oriented training on walking and balance functions. The results of the present study concern the immediate effects of task-oriented training, research should be performed to examine the long-term effects after a training period on recovery of walking and balance functions after stroke.

Conclusions

The findings of this study provide preliminary evidence supporting the short-term benefits of task-oriented training for patients with chronic stroke. Although the generalization of the results of this study is limited due to the small sample size, this study provided preliminary data for a larger controlled trial to examine the effects of task-oriented training in people after stroke. The intervention activities in this study included repetitive practice of functional activities used in everyday activities and did not involve the use of any specific exercise equipment; these activities can be easily incorporated into a home exercise program. The results of the present study indicate that patients with chronic stroke would benefit from task-oriented training.
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