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Abstract

The ultimate goal of treating postpartum uterine diseases (PUDs) in dairy cows is to reach clinical cure in a reasonable amount of time and to improve reproductive performance while minimizing milk and meat residue issues, and consequently, reducing economic losses. Even though some local antibiotic treatments are effective to mitigate the effect of PUDs, veterinarians will eventually use more natural alternatives to meet the global context of antimicrobial resistance and protect human and animal health. Research advances have improved our understanding of the uterine involution and the ethiopathology of the different pathological postpartum conditions in dairy cows. This has opened new therapeutic and preventive approach, however, most recent research is still in the early experimental stages and under scrutiny. The aim of the present article is to review non-antibiotic approaches used to treat or prevent PUDs in dairy cows. The authors include: Chitosan microparticles, essential oils, mannose, bacteriophages, dextrose, paraffin, ozone, probiotics, antiseptics, homeopathy, apitherapy, vaccines, pegbovigrastim, recombinant IL-8, and genomic selection.
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Introduction

The ultimate goal of treating postpartum uterine diseases (PUDs) in dairy cows is to reach clinical cure in a reasonable amount of time and to improve reproductive performance while minimizing milk and meat residue issues, and consequently, reducing economic losses. Acute puerperal metritis in cows is usually treated with systemic antimicrobial drugs (cephalosporin, or tetracycline, cefotiofur, penicillin) and a fluid therapy in severe cases [1]. Because of concerns about antibiotic resistance and residues, some veterinarians advocate waiting 24 h to 48 h before instituting an anti-microbial therapy, thus allowing a spontaneous cure of about 30% [2]. In severe cases, this is frequently not advised in order to protect the welfare of the animal. Indeed, manipulation of the uterus and drainage of the fetid watery red-brown discharge are not recommended because of the risk of perforation of the friable and painful uterine wall and bacteremia [3]. There is no evidence of improvement of the clinical condition or reproductive efficiency with other treatments, such as estrogen [4] which is now illegal in many countries, or oral calcium [5]. In cases of pyometra, PGF2α or its analogue [6] is the treatment of choice, allowing luteolysis of the persistent corpus luteum, expulsion of uterine exudates, and reduction of the bacterial load in 90% of cases with a recurrence rate of about 10% [7], in which case the PGF2α treatment should be repeated. In refractory cases, a local antibiotic may be added to the second injection of PGF2α. Veterinarians need to remember that the use of intrauterine nitrofurazone infusion has been known to reduce conception rates. Endometritis (clinical and subclinical) is most often treated with intrauterine infusion of a specific formulation of cephalixin (Meticure, Merk) which has beneficial effects on clinical cure and subsequent reproductive performance [8-12]. Although prostaglandins are still used for treatment and prevention of endometritis, there is no evidence of efficacy neither for improving reproductive efficiency nor for reducing its incidence [2]. However, this hormone is essential in synchronization protocols for controlled breeding programs. The aim of this present article is to review non-antibiotic approaches used to treat or prevent PUDs in dairy cows. Veteranarians could substitute antibiotics for natural alternatives, especially in the global context of antimicrobial resistance and given the World Health Organization's position on the use of medically important antibiotics and the One Health program. Despite the well documented detrimental impact of PUDs on the cow reproductive health, treatment and prevention practices of farmers are not always recorded and consistent. For example, [13] have shown significant disparity as to how farmers treat metritis. To mitigate the impact of PUDs, several non-antimicrobial therapies to treat or prevent uterine diseases have been proposed. However, most of them are still in the early experimental stages and under scrutiny. In addition, the scientific merit of most in vivo studies seems to be compromised by experimental factors: absence of precise clinical definition, inconsistencies of outcomes, lack of negative controls, insufficient numbers of animals per treatment group, use of inadequate or rebutted
diagnostic methods, disparity in the studied population, lack of randomization, and faulty experimental design. However, these studies carry forward the limits of our understanding and provide a different perspective on the treatments of PUDs in dairy cows. These new approaches include: Chitosan microparticles, essential oils, mannose, bacteriophages, dextrose, paraffin, ozone, probiotics, antiseptics, homeopathy, apitherapy, vaccines, pegbivigrastim, recombinant IL-8, and genomic selection. These alternative therapies attempt to improve the avoidance (chitosan, mannose, dextrose), the tolerance (probiotic, paraffin, and ozone), and resistance (pegbivigrastim, recombinant IL-8, and genomic selection) of defense mechanisms in the genital tract of dairy cows.

Alternative Therapy for PUDs

Chitosan is recognized by the FDA and synthesized from chitin of the exoskeleton of arthropods and cell walls of certain fungi and yeast and has a large antimicrobial spectrum in neutral and acidic environment [14]. The normal pH of the cow’s genital tract is neutral between 6.84 and 7.51 [15]. The product claims to improve the cow’s resistance by reducing the uterine bacterial load. More specifically, the antimicrobial activity of chitosan microparticles is associated with the disruption of the bacterial cell membranes by interactions with the outer membrane protein A (OmpA) leading to cell death [14]. The cows with metritis were administered 24 g (in 40 ml of sterile distilled water) of chitosan particles in the uterus for 3 days (D0; day of diagnosis, D2, and D4), and compared to cefotiofur crystalline-free treatment (6.6 mg/kg SC in the base of the ear at D0 and D3) or no treatment at all as the control group [16]. No improvement of clinical sings was measured. However, the treatment decreased milk production, reproductive performance (conception rate at first AI, and pregnancy rate at 300 DIM), and survival rate of treated cows compared to the control group [16]. Case definition and the number of local treatments may have rendered the results even more uncertain. Therefore, intrauterine use of chitosan is not recommended in dairy cows.

Essential oils (about 3000) are volatile substances naturally produced by plants as secondary metabolites and are known for their antibacterial, antifungal, insecticidal, and antiviral properties. The special biological properties and fragrance of essential oils are in a great portion due to terpenes and phenylpropanoides [17]. An important point to know is that essential oils have several compounds and their bioactivities result from the complex interactions between the different classes of compounds. Essential oils have antioxidative, anti-inflammatory, and antimicrobial properties making them good candidates in infectious process like UPDs [18]. A compound of certified organic essential oils (Optimum UterFlush, Van Beek Natural Science, Orange City, IA, n=107) was infused every other day for a total of 3 times between 1 and 12 DIM in the uterus of puerperal toxic metritis dairy cows and the results were compared to the intrauterine iodine povidone infusion group (n=113), and the control group (health cows, n=400) in organic farms [19]. The first service conception rates were 23%, 37%, and 38% in cows infused with iodine, the essential oils and the control group of healthy cows respectively (p=0.05). At 150 DIM, 75%, 31.1%, and 44.8% were pregnant in the healthy, iodine, and Optimum Uterflush groups respectively. The survival analyses indicated a tendency to shorten the interval from calving to first AI (P=0.08). The odds of pregnancy at 150 DIM for cows with Optimum UterFlush group was 1.81 times the odds of cows infused with iodine. In the study, all cows received intravenous calcium and hypertonic saline in addition to oral aspirin. The effect of Optimum UterFlush was not tested on healthy cows. The first results are very encouraging and further research on a larger scale is needed.

Carbohydrate

Three rationales have motivated researchers to use sugars as intrauterine remedy for postpartum uterine infections: 1) sugars disrupt attachment of bacteria to tissues, 2) sugars inhibit microbial growth, and 3) sugars are hypertonic and attract fluid in the uterus and facilitate eviction of debris and bacteria when the uterine cervix opens. Often lectins present on the surface of the infectious organism bind to complementary carbohydrates on the surface of the host tissues. In this way, the pathogen is not washed away by natural cleansing system of the host. Furthermore, the adhesion represents a good source of nutrition, facilitates the delivery of the toxins, and eventually the penetration of the bacteria into the tissues. The lectins present elongated multi-protein appendages with sugars (typically saccharides) on the surface of bacteria increase infectiousness (ex. fimH for E. coli) for the organism [20]. Anti-adhesion therapy consists in the inhibition of these lectins by suitable carbohydrates for prevention or treatment of microbial diseases. Mannose [21] and 50% dextrose [22-25] have been tested in cows with metritis and endometritis. Since the publication of the ability of mannose to block growth of E. coli in human wounds and to inhibit its adhesion on endometrial cells in mares with endometritis [26], research has been done in cows with PUDs. Adhesion of pathogenic organisms to host tissues is often the prerequisite for the initiation of infectious diseases. By inhibiting bacterial adhesion to the cell membrane (like endometrial cells), the risk of initiating infection should be lower. The hyperosmotic effect of the high concentration of sugar may also inhibit growth by breaking down bacterial membrane of bacteria. Mannose was used to reduce the risk of metritis in dairy cows [27] by administration of 50 g of sugar intrauterine 2 days after calving. The treatment was not successful in improving uterine health in treated cows compared to those receiving the placebo. Similarly, 200 mL of 50% dextrose solution infused intrauterine of endometritis cows at about 30 DIM did not improve neither the cure rate, the first conception rate, nor the calving-to-conception interval [22,23]. However, the cure rate of endometritis was improved with the dextrose treatment in other studies [25]. Nevertheless, it improved the median of days open compared to systemic treatment cefiofur or no treatment at all (control). Also, the resulting accumulation of transudate in the uterus after infusion of dextrose is not well documented. Thus far, treatment of metritis and endometritis using 50% solution of dextrose remains to have sound results.

Parafin

Intrauterine infusion of liquid paraffin for endometritis has been proposed to increase the resilience of the uterus in the postpartum period. Unfortunately, the condition of cows with endometritis
infused with 100 ml at around 30 DIM did not improve, but instead, sustained a reduction in their reproductive performance [22]. Without additional studies, liquid paraffin should not be considered, as an option for the treatment of endometritis in dairy cows.

Ozone

Ozone is a gas consisting of 3 atoms of oxygen in a dynamic unstable structure essential for life on earth due to its ability to absorb wavelengths of harmful UV radiation coming from spectra of sunlight [28]. Because ozone manifests strong bactericidal, fungicidal, viricidal, yeasticidal, and protozoicidal properties, it is used in medical therapies, and is available in different types of products: vegetal ozoned oil with emollient, cicatrizing, antibacterial, and hygienic compounds in several forms (creams, gasses, syringes, paillettes, foam, and boluses) [29]. Ozone inhibits growth of bacteria by damaging the capsule and cell membrane through the activity of peroxidases, and may block replication of bacterial DNA, and often acts as a supportive therapy in infectious diseases. Gram-positive bacteria seem more sensitive to ozone than Gram-negative bacteria. The most important activity of ozone is probably the synthesis of cell membrane enzymes like superoxide dismutase, catalase, and glutathione peroxidase to counter the damaging effects of O2 free radicals [30]. It reduces the cascade of pro-inflammation by promoting immunosuppressive cytokines like IL-10 and TNFβ1 by neutrophils, monocytes, and lymphocytes [31]. The therapeutic efficacy of ozone is most likely dependent on the strength of the oxidative stress produced. Moderate oxidative stress activates nuclear transcriptional factors (NrF2) suppressing NFkB and stimulating inflammatory responses. Ozone has been used to prevent [32] and treat [33,34] endometritis and metritis respectively with some positive effect. Even though ozone therapy is used in several human conditions (autohemotherapy, antineoplastic therapy, obstructive arterial diseases, macular degeneration, autoimmune diseases, and diabetes), it still not accepted yet as medical treatment in all countries [31]. In terms of efficacy of ozone in dairy cows with endometritis, the low number of animals in studies does not allow readers to draw clear conclusion.

Bacteriophage

The use of bacteriophages and phage-derived endolysins may represent an alternative treatment for PUDs in dairy cows [35]. Bacteriophages are host specific viruses of particular species or strains of bacteria. Phages produce endolysin which digests the bacterial wall, enters the cells and integrates the genome (prophage) of the host cell and replicates, resulting in lysis of the cell wall [36] in the biofilms produced by certain bacteria such as E. coli, T. pyogenes, coagulase-negative staphylococcus and S. aureus [37]. Intrauterine infusion of bacteriophages specific to E. coli and T. pyogenes 2 days prepartum and postpartum have shown no effect on uterine health and reproductive performance, instead, have increased the incidence of retained fetal membranes and metritis [21]. As for paraffin, the use of bacteriophages in PUDs in dairy cows is not recommended and more research is needed.

Probiotics

Probiotics are defined as live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host. Probiotic products include probiotic-containing drugs, medical and conventional foods, dietary supplements, and animal fodder. However, it excludes microbial transplants, fermented foods, dead microbes, and microbial products such as protein, polysaccharide, and nucleotide components. Probiotics work by producing substances that have desirable effects on the body like improving the immune response or maintaining a healthy microbiome [38]. In humans, probiotics have shown promises for the prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhea (Clostridium difficile), necrotizing enterocolitis, sepsis, and for treatment of infant colic, periodontal diseases, and ulcerative colitis. Prebiotics are not the same as probiotics since prebiotics are food components that selectively stimulate the growth or activity of desirable microorganisms. Probiotics may contain a variety of microorganisms, but one of the most common is Lactobacillus which is the major member of the vaginal microbiome in women. In dairy cows, several doses of cocktails of lactic acid were infused in the vagina (before calving) and in the uterus (after calving) to measure the effect on the incidence of metritis and endometritis [39-41]. Treatments with the lactic acid cocktails reduced the incidence of metritis and endometritis, improved vaginal mucus secretion of immunoglobulin A, and decreased the inflammatory response by reducing neutrophil gene expression. Therefore, intravaginal and intrauterine infusion of probiotics seem effective in reducing the incidence of PUDs. The probiotic functionality and efficacy are species specific and therefore, different cocktails may have different effects.

Apitherapy

Another alternative way to treat PUDs is apitherapy. Honey products have been used extensively since ancient times for anti-putrefactive (mommies), antisepsics, cicatrizing, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antioxidant agents [42], and antibiotic films [43,44]. It is an influential antioxidant and a free radical scavenger. Nonetheless, for several decades now, modern medicine, propelled by new developments and the wide applications of antibiotics, in which the honey as medical treatment has been sunk into oblivion. Given the repeated occurrence of antibiotic resistance, there has been renewed interest in the medical applications of honey products (propolis, venom, royal jelly, venom, and pollen) for livestock. The active components of honey, such as glucose, fructose, flavonoids, polyphenols, and organic acids are largely responsible for its therapeutic activity. For example, flavonoids found in honey have been shown to induce apoptosis and prevent the release of IL-1β, IL-6, TNFα, iNOSm and Cox-2 [45]. The propolis has antifungal properties and can be used as an antibiotic [46]. Honey possesses therapeutic potential and its antimicrobial activity is widely documented as a large number of in vitro studies of MIC and MBC confirmed its broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties [47]. The immunomodulatory response to honey products also manifests itself in the activation of lymphocytic function, the activation of macrophages, and the stimulation of cytokines release by monocytes [48]. Abdul-Hafeez et al. (2019) infused 100 ml of 70% Egyptian cotton honey for 3 consecutive days in the uterus of repeat breeder dairy cows (n=16) with purulent vaginal discharge unresponsive to standard antibiotic therapies and obtained 75% conception rate at first insemination after treatment. However, the small number of animals in the study does not allow us to draw any definite conclusions.

Lefebvre RC (2021) Alternative Treatments of Postpartum Uterine Diseases in Dairy Cows
ISSN: 2577-4492
Homeopathy was introduced by Samuel Hahnemann around 1797 as a new method to treat diseases with dilute remedies. There are 3 major fundamental principles of homeopathy: 1) law of similia, 2) law of simplex (the single remedy), and 3) law of minimum. The law of similia means “like cures like”, the medicine must have the capability of producing similar symptoms of the disease to cure a person. Hahnemann stated that only one single and simple medicinal substance is to be administered in a given case of time. The reason for this is that if more than one remedy is used, the doctor will never know which element was curative and the different ingredients may even result in interactions that may have adverse effects in the body. The third law is probably the most debated. Under this principle, the quantity of medicine given to a patient is minimum and appropriate for a gentle remedial effect to avoid any aggravation.

In the scientific community and veterinary practice, the use of homeopathy in food-producing animals is controversial even though it is common practice on organic farms. As the other alternatives, the development of the homeopathy is fueled by overuse of antibiotic in humans and animals which has promoted the antibiotic resistance [49]. The mechanism of action of homeopathic drugs are not known however for example, Lachesis is used for several inflammatory conditions including uterine infection because it is believed that it stimulates the local immune defense and helps the mucosa to regenerate [50,51]. In ruminants, clinical trials reported on uterine infections in dairy cows [52]. Homeopathic studies on fertility addressed especially the peripartum period (retained placenta, endometritis) and almost exclusively in a preventive instead of the therapeutic approach. In a randomized, controlled, and double-blinded clinical trial, [53] compared three different mixed formulas of homeopathic remedies (Traumeel, Lachesis, and Carduus administered IM) in dairy cows with clinical endometritis at calving, 7 to 13 DIM, 14 to 20 DIM, and 21 to 27 DIM. In contrast to the second law, the researchers did not use an individualized therapy. The homeopathic treatments were not effective in preventing endometritis or improving the metabolic condition and the reproductive performance. Another randomized, controlled, and blinded trial with 105 cows with compound remedies resulted in a significant reduction of noncycling cows compared to the control group [54]. Homeopathic treatments reduced significantly the number of days to pregnancy [55] and fewer services per conception [50]. No trial was repeated in a comparative manner. Due to low number and quality of studies available (high risk of bias), there are limited evidence for statistical difference between homeopathic remedies and the control.

Antiseptics (chlorhexidine, propylene glycol, lugol's iodine, iodine, polyvinyl-pyrolidone-iodine, formaldehyde, and Betadine solutions) have been routinely used in veterinary practice for many years to treat postpartum uterine infections in dairy cows [56,57]. It was postulated that intrauterine infusion of irritating antiseptics would trigger an acute inflammation transforming chronic endometritis into an acute condition (local irritation) by stimulating the uterine immune defense [58]. Antiseptics have also an microbiocidal effect. In addition, it is expected that a local condition like endometritis could be treated locally (intrauterine infusion). Intrauterine infusions of 2% and 4% polycondensed m-cresolsulfuric acid formaldehyde in dairy cows with endometritis between 22 and 28 DIM did not improve the conception rate compared to the prostaglandin treatment [59,60]. Similar results were obtained with intrauterine infusion of 2% polyvinylpyrolidone-iodine at 35 DIM [57], n = 531 which was detrimental to reproductive efficiency. However, no detrimental effect was measured in cows without endometritis. [61] infused in the uterus 100 ml of Betadine without improvement of the reproductive performance compared to intrauterine infusion of antibiotics or intramuscular progesterol. The reduction of the reproductive performance with intrauterine infusion of antiseptics may be associated with the irritating effect on the endometrium which could have caused fibrosis. Another concern about the infusion of iodine derivatives into the uterus is the significant increase of iodine concentrations in the milk [62,63]. In conclusion, irritation of the endometrium by intrauterine infusion of antiseptics has a negative effect on uterine defense and reduces self-healing ability. Unfortunately, several studies are typically lacking negative controls and insufficient numbers of animals per treatment group as well as a faulty experimental design with absence of randomization.

Alternative Prevention to Reduce PUD Incidence Rates

In the past decade, research on innate immunity has provided a better understanding of the PUD etiopathology in dairy cows, but the potential role of adaptive immunity in postpartum uterine defense is not yet perfectly understood and the production of a vaccine against metritis is still in the early stages of development [64,65]. The lymphocyte population composed of B-cells, T-cells, and NK cells were found in endometrium of cows [16]. Authors noted that the B-lymphocyte population in water buffaloes was widely distributed through the endometrium, the stroma, and the luminal and glandular epithelium, and the myometrium compared to the population of CD4, CD8, and NK cells. At the moment, four studies have used different vaccine formulations targeting E. coli, and T. pyogenes as major pathogens with or without virulence factors (FimH, Leukotoxin, pylosin), and Bacteroides and streptococcus uferis as secondary bacteria [66-69]. Of the four studies, only one measured the serological response based on blood levels of IgG specific to the antigens utilized in the vaccine formulation [67]. Inoculations of the vaccines were done with whole cells orally, intravaginally, or subcutaneously in heifers or cow. Two out of the four studies succeeded in reducing the incidence of metritis [67,68] and one led to an improvement of reproductive efficiency [67]. In addition, milk production was increased in the first 30 DIM in multiparous cows [68]. The difference in efficacy among these studies may be related to the vaccine formulation, and therefore, more research is warranted to replicate the findings regarding the efficacy of a vaccine against metritis. Another approach to improve the immune defense of cows in peripartum has been the use of Pegbovigrastim. This molecule is a recombinant bovine granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) which is a hematopoietic growth factor that stimulates the production and differentiation of neutrophils by the bone marrow [70]. Subcutaneously administered pegbovigrastim 7 days before the expected calving date and 1 day after calving increased of circulating neutrophils counts but did not decrease the incidence of PUDs [71] forcing its removal from the market. A similar strategy using recombinant IL-8 (rbIL-8) was...
propose to reduce PUDs. The IL-8 is a pro-inflammatory cytokine which attracts and activates neutrophils into the inflammatory tissues, stimulates their chemotaxis, and increases phagocytosis and killing ability [72]. Intrauterine infusions of high and low doses of rbIL-8 within 12 h of calving in dairy cows were adequate to decrease metritis incidence and increase milk production compared to control cows [73,74]. If large studies confirm these preliminary results, rbIL-8 could have a promising impact on dairy farms.

The best way to increase efficiency of farms is to prevent diseases. Genomic and genetic studies attempted to validate genomic prediction analysis by taking advantage of the disparity between the worst and the best herds in the incidence of reported diseases [75] and incorporating the data in a selection program. For a long time, practitioners have observed large differences in PUD incidence rate among herds. Nonetheless, the heritability of uterine diseases is low. Even though genetic heritability for metritis (0.05) and endometritis (0.04) is actually very low, geneticists used Bayesian statistical models and high-density SNP panels to estimate genomic predictive values of uterine health traits (PUDs) in Holstein cows in a more efficient way [76]. Taking into account the increase in genomics testing, these tools may soon become part of a preventive program against PUDs [77].

Conclusion

Despite the documented positive impact of intrauterine infusion of antibiotics for the treatment of PUDs in dairy cows, the global context of antimicrobial resistance compels veterinarians to substitute antibiotics with alternatives to mitigate the impact of PUDs reproductive performance and productivity of cows. Several non-antimicrobial therapies to treat and prevent PUDs have been proposed. However, most of them are still in the early experimental stages.
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