Quantification of Tooth Wear by Selected Desensitizing Polishing Pastes Using White Light Profilometry
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Abstract

Objectives: To analyse tooth wear using white light non-contact profilometry following the polishing of the tooth surface with selected polishing pastes.

Methods: Three polishing pastes containing a range of particles sizes and different coarseness (extra-fine, medium, course) were compared with commercially available prophylaxis pastes (Nupro with Novamin® and Nupro with Fluoride) as controls. Particle size distribution was analysed using a using particle size analyser and quantified using Masterizer software. Teeth were in 70% ethanol prior to evaluation. 25 extracted human premolar teeth were distributed in five groups (n=5), and the teeth were mounted in a silicone putty matrix leaving an exposed buccal surface. White light profilometry with Proscan 2000 software was used to scan each tooth surface before and after polishing. Scantron ProForm software was used to superimpose images and measure surface loss and analyse the difference between the two surfaces-scans by the Proscan 2000 software.

Results: Particle size analysis indicated that all samples consisted of a wide distribution of particles’ sizes (DX 10, 50, and 90). The course polishing paste had the largest DX 90 whereas Nupro with Fluoride had the lowest DX 90. The extra-fine pumice had the lowest DX 90, although this paste had larger values for DX 10 and DX 50 compared to the medium paste. The volume tooth loss analysis demonstrated that the course pumice had the most tooth surface loss compared to the extra-fine pumice which had the least amount of tooth surface loss. The average volume loss per group was 0.808, 0.022, 0.014, 0.022, 0.026 (course, medium, extra-fine, Nupro with Fluoride, and Nupro with Novamin®) respectively.

Conclusions: The results indicated that the larger the DX 90 within the paste, the more tooth surface loss occurred due to the abrasivity of the paste. There was however minimal or no significant difference in the amount of tooth loss between the control polishing pastes.
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Introduction

Dental materials are frequently used in polishing procedures during periodontal procedures in daily dental practice and the abrasives in these materials may subsequently have an impact on tooth surface loss and wear. Several factors are indicated in the aetiology of tooth wear with or without Dental Hypersensitivity (DH) such as erosion, attrition and, abrasion. Furthermore, different materials other than a tooth can cause tooth contact when it contacts a tooth (so-called two-body or three-body contact [Tribology]) [1]. The term wear is, therefore, a better descriptive term to define the loss of tooth structure [2]. Tooth wear can be defined as the net loss of tooth structure when it is under function [1]. Previous studies have reported a growing interest in quantifying tooth structure loss which is called ‘wear quantification’ both in vivo and in vitro in three dimensions. Volume and mean height are the most clinically relevant parameters that can be used to analyse tooth loss [3]. It is essential to have a systematic, reliable and, repeatable data using a wear quantification method. The method itself is time consuming, which requires an experienced operator to apply the different software packages that are available commercially for wear quantification [4]. It is, however, a useful method to compare and evaluate the effect of different new materials, which may cause tooth wear in vitro. An accurate surface topographic representation of a tooth both pre- and post-wear testing is essential for any in vitro wear qualification to be valid. There are three main types of sensors that are used for scanning and subsequently quantifying the wear namely: 1) contact sensors [5], 2) non-contact sensors [6] and 3) white light [7] which are all suitable for systematic studies [4]. Investigators have previously utilised white light non-contact profilometric techniques as a quantifiable measure of tooth loss/abrasive wear and/or erosion [7-9]. White light profilometry uses effective sensors to measure the distance in which they can split the white light beam into its constituent wavelength [10]. Each wavelength matches to its corresponding distance which creates its monochromatic image point. Therefore, the image reflects the surface topography of a scanned specimen which it can provide a quantitative measure of shape, texture, microtopography, microform and roughness [10].

Aim

The aim of this in vitro study was to analyse tooth wear on extracted human teeth using contactless white light profilometry following professional polishing with selected polishing pastes with different types of pumice used in the polishing of teeth during periodontal procedures.
Material and Method

This exploratory study was based on two procedures. The first part described in this paper was to quantify tooth wear using a white light profilometry following polishing of the teeth to choose the ideal abrasivity of the pumice that would be incorporated into future prophylaxis formulations. The second part of the study was the evaluation of selected pastes to determine their effectiveness in tubular occlusion and this will be reported in a subsequent paper.

Particle Size Analysis

The same weight (50 mg) of the polishing prophylaxis paste samples were dissolved separately in 50 mL deionised water. Once the solid particles were dispersed, the diluted solution was transferred into a system that uses the MASTERSIZER 3000E (MALVERN software) to initiate the measurements and analysing the particle sizes through the laser diffraction method using a dispersion of particles in a liquid, wet, Hydro EV, deionised water with a 1.33 refractive index. The Mastersizer E used is designed to obtain values for a wide particle size range of 0.1 to 3500 µm. The setting of the software was pre-set manually to a duration of 15 seconds background measurement(s) and 10 seconds sample measurements. The diluted solution was added in small quantities until the obstruction range of 5-20% was achieved. A speed of 2000 rpm for the hydro pump speed was used for all tested samples. Four different measurements for each sample were automatically reported, analysed, and averaged by the software.

Preparation of Materials

A total of 30 extracted, caries free human premolars were collected from the walk-in dental polyclinics from Kuwait in 2017 after obtaining verbal consent from patients for the use of their teeth in research. The teeth were stored in a small container of Listerine mouthwash (Johnson and Johnson, UK) and brought to the UK by HFH under QMUL guidelines UK. The teeth were transferred and stored in a 70% Ethanol solution in a specimen container at room temperature within the Department of Physical Sciences Unit at Mile End, London in accordance with HTA regulations. The extracted premolars were distributed into five groups (n=5) and teeth were mounted in a silicon putty matrix (Zetaplus plus mixed with an indurent gel (Zhermack SpA, Italy), leaving an exposed buccal surface to evaluate. The groups were numbered from 1 to 5 and they were stored in the 70% Ethanol solution at room temperature within the Department.

Prior to scanning the samples were prepared by placing three divots using a ½ round bur at high speed on the flattest buccal (facial) surface of each tooth. Three polishing pumices with a range of particle sizes and different coarseness of pumice (extra-fine, medium, course) (Kemdent, Swindon, UK) were compared to commercially available prophylaxis pastes namely, Nupro with Novamin®, Nupro with Fluoride (Dentsply International, USA) (Controls). A battery-operated dental polisher portable handpiece (Dentitex model number TP-01; 8000 rpm motor), was used as a polishing carrier device instead of a slow speed handpiece for practical purposes. Its cup has the same size as a dental office polishing cup. To avoid any contamination of the materials, each cup was dedicated for a specific prophylaxis paste. Three different pumice powders (course, medium and extra-fine) (Kemdent; Swindon, UK) were characterized in terms of their particle size distribution (Masterizer software). The exact weight measure of the samples was dissolved separately in 50 mg deionised water. The diluted solution was transferred to initiate the measurements and analysing the particle sizes through a laser diffraction method using a dispersion of particles in a liquid, Hydro EV, deionised water with 1.33 refractive index (Mastersizer 3000E from Malvern software).

Quantification of Tooth Surface Loss

White Light Profilometry (WLP)

Two software programmes were used for analysing the tooth surface loss; namely: a Proscan 2000 and a Scantron ProForm. The Proscan 2000 software is designed for shape analysis, object digitisation and accurate surface analysis. The Scantron ProForm software is designed for analysing the differences between two surfaces-scans made by the Proscan 2000 software accuracy.

Three divots on the buccal surface of every tooth were placed to define reference points and the surfaces scanned. The pumice was used with water only, and the tooth was polished for two minutes using the portable polishing handpiece (Dentitex). The tooth was gently rinsed with water until all pumice particles were no longer observed on the tooth surface. A second scan was undertaken using white light profilometry. The two scans were then superimposed in a different software Scantron ProForm to measure any surface volume loss and analyse the difference between the two surfaces-scans. An area of 0.4 x 0.4 µm² was randomly selected between the three divots as a standard dimension for all samples (Figure 1).

Results and Discussion

The particle size analysis showed that all samples consisted of a wide distribution of particle sizes (DX 10, 50, and 90). Table 1 and Figure 2 show the particle size distribution for each sample. The course pumice sample had the largest amount of DX 90 particle size whereas Nupro with Fluoride had the smallest DX 90. The extra-fine pumice sample had the smallest DX 90 for the pumice powders but had larger values for DX 10 and DX 50 than the medium pumice sample.

The tooth surface loss volume was analysed using white light non-contact profilometry following the polishing of the tooth surface with the selected polishing pastes. The results demonstrated that the course pumice had the most tooth surface loss compared to the extra-fine pumice which had the least amount of tooth surface loss. The average volume loss per group was 0.808, 0.022, 0.014, 0.022, 0.026 mm³ (course, medium, extra-fine, Nupro with Fluoride, and Nupro with Novamin®) respectively (Table 2 and Figure 3). The t-test between the Medium vs. Extra-Fine samples was 0.0098 which indicated a significant difference in surface loss. Based on this result an extra-fine pumice was recommended to be incorporated in the prophylactic formulation in subsequent studies. The results indicated that the larger
the DX 90 value of the paste, the more tooth surface loss occurred due to the abrasivity of the paste. Thus, it seems that the coarse particles in the particle size distribution close to DX 90 dominate the tooth loss. There were no significant differences in the amount of tooth loss between the two control samples.

Table 2 shows the average of tooth surface loss in (mm$^3$) for the different materials analysed where T is the tooth sample that was used.

### Conclusion

The results from this exploratory study on the effect of the particle size distribution on tooth surface loss indicated that the larger the DX 90 particle size of the pumice samples, the more tooth surface loss and wear. The extra-fine pumice sample should be incorporated into a prophylaxis paste to reduce any potential tooth surface loss.
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