Off-Pump Myocardial Revascularization from the Beginning till Now
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Editorial

Surgical myocardial revascularization was introduced in the cardiovascular surgical practice late, just after two decades of experience in congenital heart disease and valvular dysfunctions. The landmark of direct myocardial revascularization was the possibility to access the coronary arteries through coronary angiography developed by Mason Jones in Cleveland Clinic in early sixties [1].

The possibility to identify the presence and severity of coronary obstructions was the birth of modern coronary surgery and more than this, to evaluate and select really effective surgical techniques.

Of several indirect surgical procedures made in the past only the Vineberg technique showed some efficiency [2].

The modern era of direct myocardial revascularization started with the saphenous vein bypass grafts, with massive information coming mainly from Cleveland Clinic regarding diagnosis, selection of patients and technical challenges [3]. Nevertheless, Garret utilized years before a bypass graft instead of endarterectomy in 1962 and published a successful follow-up in 1973. It is important to remind that at that time he didn’t have information of cinecoronarygraphy and did this option during a valve operation [4].

Although coronary arteries are located on the surface of the heart and it is not necessary to open cardiac chambers, surgeons started to use cardiopulmonary bypass to make the graft anastomosis due to development of myocardial protection methods, familiarity with extracorporeal circuit and mainly due to perform a difficult anastomosis in a beating field.

Nevertheless, the idea to make a coronary artery anastomosis in a beating heart was applied before the concept of doing a saphenous vein graft bypass under extracorporeal circulation and cardiac arrest.


Vasilii Kolesov and Potashov [6,7] published in Russia (1965) and later in United States (1967) their experience with mammary artery to left anterior descending coronary artery in a beating heart through a left thoracotomy and in few cases with mechanical suture. It is interesting to observe that he didn’t have informations about the coronary arteries and made the operation only in clinical basis.

Years later Trapp & Bisarya [8] in Canada and in the same year Ankeney [9] in Western Reserve - Cleveland independently reported the first series of patients with acceptable results. This alternative of myocardial revascularization did not have acceptance due to technical difficulties and the concept that it was not possible to occlude a coronary artery even for a few minutes without causing a myocardial infarction. Due to this fact complicated distal perfusion devices were necessary introducing more difficulties to perform coronary anastomosis.

Only years later Buffolo et al [10] and independently Benetti [11] published a consecutive series of patients with saphenous or mammary artery grafts to left anterior descending, diagonal and right coronary arteries, calling attention to feasibly and safety of this alternative of myocardial revascularization. Technical maneuvers were described to facilitate anastomosis as position of the table, anesthesia expertise and utilization of pharmacological “stabilizers” like verapamil to reduce heart rate, oxygen consumption and arterial pressure.

During the next decade some papers tried to demonstrate the advantages of myocardial revascularization without pump, but did not have wide acceptance by local and international community [12–18].

Despite the evidence of the feasibility with good results, the confort to perform a conventional coronary artery bypass in an arrested heart and the concerns about the quality of anastomosis led to only isolated experiences for many years, and sometimes with strong criticisms in editorials like: “Look ma no hands!” or “myocardial revascularization without pump, a benefit or a risk for the patient”.

The development and persistence in performing off-pump coronary operation by pioneer groups culminated with the concept proposed by Benetti [19] to make a mammary-artery-LAD anastomosis in a beating heart through a mini-left thoracotomy. This idea was presented in a meeting in Rome in 1994 and received the name of MIDCAB (Minimally Invasive Direct Coronary Artery Bypass). The clinical experience was disseminated all around the world by Benetti and popularized by Calafiore et al [20], among others, with the name of “Last operation” (left anterior small thoracotomy).

This idea was strongly attractive and was in our opinion the key to the interest in beating heart surgery. Many groups started to learn how to operate without pump and discovered that was really possible to achieve good quality anastomosis even more, utilizing sternotomy.
Curiously in 1982, we collected only 5 direct publications in off-pump coronary surgery, in 1992, 18 and in 1998 an explosion of 18,423 papers in the subject.

With the widespread application of beating heart coronary surgery many important contributions were made like the concept of hybrid approach and the use of stabilizers [21–23].

In the hybrid approach, we use a mammary-artery-left anterior descending anastomosis (LAD) with minimal left thoracotomy (MIDCAB) and before or after, percutaneous angioplasty to other coronary arteries combining the five star treatment of the LAD with a minimally invasive treatment of multivessel coronary artery disease [24–26].

The stabilizers by compression or suction like OCTOPUS®, facilitate the anastomosis making a regional “cardiac arrest”. It can be used with devices like “STARFISH”™ that put the “apex cordis” up to permit visibility of marginal branches of circumflex artery. The use of the stabilizers was very important to get better quality anastomosis and now they are essential in off pump coronary surgery.

In the following decade, we can observe a lot of contributions and randomized controlled trials comparing off-pump and on-pump surgery regarding mortality, morbidity, inflammatory response, patency rates, stroke, blood transfusion, costs, results in high risk patients among others [27–32].

Off-pump coronary surgery was studied under various aspects: advantages and criticisms and opinion makers like Thomas Salerno, Michael Mack, David Taggart, R. Ascione, Gianni Angelini, John Puskas, Antonio Calafiore [33–39] among others established the main concepts, advantages and disadvantages of this alternative of myocardial revascularization.

The different results regarding patency rates and benefits of the off-pump technique in our opinion is mainly due to improper training, selection of cases and surgical skills.

Although some groups are now performing 90% or even 100% of the operations off-pump, we believe that is difficult to achieve a 100% application of the technique. In our experience, patients with hypertrophic left ventricles, diffuse atheromatous coronary arteries that sometimes need endarterectomy intramyocardial coronary arteries among others need the conventional approach, and the key to avoid unacceptable conversion rates is the proper preoperative selection of patients.

In retrospective analysis of our experience, we think that off-pump myocardial revascularization have strong evidences of advantages regarding reduction in mortality rates, reduction in stroke, lesser major postoperative complications, shorter hospital stay and lower costs. The controversies that we observe in the literature regarding mortality and morbidity are mainly due to excess of enthusiasm trying to apply the technique to all patients, untrained surgeons and selection of patients.

It is very difficult to demonstrate advantages in low risk patients [40]. The differences appears in patients with co-morbidities; worse the patient, better the outcome.

Recent large international randomized trials did show advantages of off-pump coronary surgery compared with conventional revascularization for high risk patients with important co-morbidities like previous CVA, renal insufficiency, pulmonary insufficiency or porcelain aorta. Off-pump surgery in the hands of well trained teams offers a reduced risk regarding mortality and mobility [41–43].

In recent years it was demonstrated that it is possible to perform myocardial revascularization using a robotic system with closed chest in a selected group of patients (TECAB) Totally Endoscopic Coronary Artery Bypass.

This technique using port access and robotics represents the maximum application of a minimally invasive concept using the technology of the new millennium and the applicability and results will be analysed in the next years.

Comparative studies between off-pump coronary surgery and angioplasties will be necessary to establish new strategies in the management of coronary artery disease because the parameters we have now compares conventional surgery and percutaneous intervention in the majority of randomized controlled trials.

In summary, in our long and large experience with CABG without CPB, the indications for operation with this method has been identified; the method can be used in approximately 25% of patients undergoing coronary revascularization. Arterial conduits can be used, and the patency rate is similar to that of conventional techniques. The mortality rate is acceptably low, and complication rates were lower compared with conventional techniques. In selected cases, the procedure is cost-effective due to lower use of hospital resources in the operating room, intensive care unit, and ward. The continuing use of this technique of coronary artery surgery is therefore justified.
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