Providing Sand Rats (*Psammomys Obesus*) Environmental Enrichment is not Inhibiting their Diabetes Development and Use as an Animal Model for Human Diet Induced Type 2 Diabetes
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Abstract

The gerbil, *Psammomys obesus*, commonly known as the fat sand rat, is a well-defined animal model for human type 2 diabetes (T2D). Captive housed fat sand rats often develop serious digging- and gnawing stereotypies but historically, little has been done to improve the housing conditions for the animals by providing environmental enrichment and thereby minimizing or eliminating this unwanted behaviour. Although not scientifically proven, it is generally believed that providing environmental enrichment might inhibit the development of diabetes in the fat sand rats, mainly due to raised activity levels.

This study compared the development of T2D in fat sand rats housed in standard housing conditions and sand rats housed in various enriched environments. The study included 51 fat sand rats in five groups, of which one group acted as the control. The remaining four groups were housed in four different enriched environments for 37 days; including various combinations of provided mazes/burrows, nuts, seeds, maize and barley plus access to salt water. No significant differences were found in the development of diabetes in the five groups. It is concluded that provision of the tested environmental enrichment has no effect on the development of T2D in *Psammomys obesus*, and hence there are no reasons for not providing captive housed fat sand rats with species-specific environmental enrichment like the tested items to fulfill their natural needs and enhance their welfare.

Introduction

*Psammomys obesus*, the fat sand rat, of the genus *Psammomys*, which also often is referred to as the desert sand rat [1], the Israeli sand rat [2] or simply the sand rat [3–5] has since the 1960s been used as an animal model for diet induced T2D [6]. In the sand rat's natural habitat - the arid regions of North Africa and Eastern Mediterranean – the animals mainly feed on succulent leaves that are relatively low in energy and high in water and electrolytes [7]. Sand rats living in this habitat are lean and normoglycemic and do not naturally develop T2D. However, when fed a regular or high energy laboratory rodent diet in captivity, the animals gain weight and develop insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia and eventually hyperglycaemia. As the disease development progresses, the sand rats lose their functional pancreatic beta cell mass and become hypoinsulinemic eventually leading to death if insulin therapy is not initiated. This is very similar to the T2D development seen in humans [8–12]. In the early 1970s, sand rats were caught in the wild in the desert areas north of the Dead Sea in Israel. A breeding colony was then successfully established at the Hebrew University Hadassah Medical School, Israel [13]. In captivity, the colony developed four distinct phenotypes; 32% of the animals were normoglycemic and normoinsulinemic, 26% were moderately obese, normoglycemic and hyperinsulinemic, 36% were hyperglycaemic and hyperinsulinemic, and about 6% developed hypoinsulinemia and hyperglycaemia with weight loss and ketosis [14, 15]. These different phenotypes led to the establishment of two distinct breeding lines: A diabetes prone (DP) line, in which more than 70% of the animals develop T2D and a diabetes resistant (DR) line in which 60–70% of the animals remain normoglycemic despite intake of a high calorie diet [16]. Heled et al [17] have documented that exercise training in sand rats could prevent or postpone the progression of T2D.

It is generally believed that environmental enrichment for laboratory animals will increase activity associated with exploring and manipulating inanimate enrichment items [18], and hence it could be a concern, that enriching the environment of the sand rat would inhibit or delay the development of T2D due to raised activity levels. Accordingly, very little has historically been done to improve the housing conditions. Even though sand rats, being gerbils, differ notably from mice and rats in their way of living e.g. in being great diggers and building extensive tunnel systems with several entrances leading to different foraging sites and spending only 2–3 hours above
ground every day [25], sand rats are traditionally housed in the same way as laboratory rats.

The present study aimed at investigating if sand rats can be housed under environmentally enriched conditions without there being an effect on the development and onset of T2D.

Material And Methods

Animals

For this study, a total of 98 diabetes prone (DP) sand rats (49 males and 49 females) (*Psammomys obesus*), aged nine weeks on arrival, were used. All animals originated from the same commercial breeding colony (Harlan, Jerusalem, Israel) which twice a year is health monitored based on the recommendations of the FELASA Working Group on Health Monitoring of Rodent and Rabbit Colonies (FELASA 2002). The breeding colony is historically and by the use of mice and rat sentinels tested positive for Pneumonia Virus of Mice (PVM) and Helicobacter spp. only. On arrival, the animals were micro chipped, randomly assigned to single-sex groups with two or three animals per cage and acclimatized for two weeks on a low-energy diet (LE) (3084 Teklad Low Energy Sand Rat Diet, Harlan, Jerusalem, Israel) with 2.4 cal/g of total digestible energy and consisting of 70% carbohydrate, 3.1% fat, 16.7% protein, and 10.2% ash in the form of hard-pressed pellets. On this diet, the sand rats maintain a non-fasting blood glucose (BG) level of 4–6 mmol/L. The study consisted of two parts; a pre-study and the main study on the effect of enrichment (the enrichment study). After two weeks of acclimatisation, the pre-study, lasting 10 days, was done to identify diabetes prone animals (DP) to be used in the enrichment study. After the selection of DP animals, a two-week period for normalisation of blood glucose was initiated prior to the enrichment study, which lasted 37 days.

Housing

The sand rats were housed in yellow, semi-transparent type IV macronlon cages (Scanbur A/S, Karlslunde, Denmark) throughout the study. For details on environmental enrichment please refer to Table 1. All cages had two water bottles. Citric acid was added to the drinking water in a 0.4% solution (pH 2–3) to prevent bacterial growth. All cages were changed once a week. When the animals became diabetic and developed polyuria, cages were changed more frequently. The animals were housed in an animal room with a 12 hour light-dark chamber. Throughout the study, all animals were observed and cared for by experienced animal caretakers at least once daily and all animals included in the study were weighed twice a week. At the end of the study, all animals were euthanized using a gradually filled 85% CO₂ / 15% O₂ chamber. Throughout the study, all animals were housed and cared according to current Danish and European legislation and guidelines, and the actual study was both approved by the Danish Animal Experiments Inspectorate and the Novo Nordisk Ethical Review Committee (ERC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>SH</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>G2</th>
<th>G3</th>
<th>G4</th>
<th>G5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yellow semi-transparent type IV macronlon cage (595 x 380 x 200 mm; floor area 1820 cm²) (Scanbur A/S, Karlslunde, Denmark)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard lid (total cage height 25 cm)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 cm layer of aspen bedding (Tapvei, Kortteinen, Finland) or enough to cover maze/burrow</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper based nesting material “EnviroDri” (Lillico, Surrey, UK) and two aspen biting sticks, size medium (Tapvei, Kortteinen, Finland). These sticks followed the animals, when the cages were changed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food enrichment*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saltwater (3% saline/sea salt)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novo Nordisk shelter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic maze/burrow</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal maze/burrow</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = number of animals. G1 = Group 1 (control), G2 = enrichment group 2, G3 = enrichment group 3 etc. *Food enrichment = peanuts and hazelnuts with shells, sunflower seeds, maize and barley.

Blood sampling

Blood samples for determination of whole BG and HbA₁c levels in both the pre-study and the enrichment study were drawn from the tip of the tail of non-sedated animals, using an “Assistant” blood lancet (Bio & Berntsen, Rodovre, Denmark). Samples for measurement of BG were collected in 10 μl glass Na-heparinized capillary tubes (Vitrex, Herlev, Denmark), immediately suspended in 500 μl Biosoan analysis buffer (EKF Diagnostics, Cardiff, UK) and analysed for BG concentration expressed as mmol/L. Blood samples for HbA₁c were transferred to a freezer (-20°C) until analysis on a Hitachi 912 (Roche HaA1cII; Tina-quant Hemoglobin A1c II, Roche/Hitachi 912, Mannheim, Germany) and expressed as percentage glycated haemoglobin of the total haemoglobin.

Pre-study

After two weeks of acclimatization, all animals were transferred for 10 days to a high energy diet (HE) (Purina LabDiet 5008, Brogaarden, Gentofte, Denmark) with 3.1 cal/g of total digestible energy and consisting of 66.6% carbohydrate, 2.1% fat, 22.4% protein, and 6.9% ash. BG was measured on days 0, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. Animals that developed BG levels above 10 mmol/L for two consecutive days during the 10-day period were classified as diabetes prone (DP) and included in the study (19 DP females and 32 DP males). Animals with BG levels...
below 10 mmol/L were classified as diabetes resistant (DR) and were not included in the study. If a DP animal was pair housed with a DR animal, the DR animal remained in the cage as a companion animal for animal welfare reasons. For this study, 9 females and 8 males were kept as companions for a DP cage mate. If both pair housed animals were DR, they were excluded from the study and used for other purposes. The study animals were after selection transferred back to the LE diet for two weeks to lower their BG to normal levels before start of the actual study.

Enrichment study

The animals were housed in one of five environments (Table 1) for 37 days and fed HE diet. BG was measured on day 0 and twice per week in the morning thereafter (a total of 12 samples). Samples of HbA1C were drawn on day 0 and once a week (a total of 6 samples) thereafter. All the blood samples were taken at the same time point, early in the morning, from overnight fed animals. A variety of enrichment items were used in the four enrichment groups (Table 1). The used shelter was a small hideout used as standard enrichment for rodents at Novo Nordisk (Mikkelsen 2010). A multi-entranced labyrinth-like tunnel-house was designed and fabricated (“the maze/burrow”; Novo Nordisk, Maaloev, Denmark). Two different types were used; one produced in stainless steel (Group 4) and one produced in non-transparent plastic (Group 5) (Figure 1). A 3% saltwater (sodium chloride; ATLANTIS sea salt from Portugal) solution was provided as enrichment in groups 3, 4 and 5.

To satisfy the animal’s need for gnawing and searching for food, several food items commonly used as enrichment for other species of rodents were used. Those selected were peanuts and hazelnuts with shells, sunflower seeds, maize and barley (all from Brogaarden, Gentofte, Denmark). All were given once daily in a very limited amount to all enrichment groups (groups 2, 3, 4 and 5).

Discussion

This study has demonstrated that it is possible to provide environmental enrichment to sand rats without having significant effects on the development of T2D. The four test-groups were enriched in four different ways and none of the groups showed any significant difference in either BG levels or HbA1C as compared to the control group.

Housing systems containing items used by the animals to satisfy species-specific basic needs contribute to improved animal welfare [19]. Even though not quantified, it was observed that the animals used the maze/burrow, when provided; they would dig under it and they would arrange their shelters in it (Figure 2) and they seemed to have a lower level of stereotypes (personal observations). Hence the constructed maze/burrow seemed to be a valuable enrichment item in the cage with a positive effect on the animals’ welfare. The animal caretakers preferred the plastic maze/burrow, as it was easier to handle than the stainless-steel maze/burrow. The 3% saltwater solution as drinking water seemed to be preferred by the sand rats, especially in the beginning of the study. As the animals became diabetic they seemed to increase their intake of normal water (personal observations). Sand

![Figure 1: The non-transparent plastic maze/borrow.](image-url)
rats may show adrenal pathology and increased mortality, when not given extra sodium chloride [20, 21] and hence this supply may be valuable for the health of the animals. Further studies could establish the need as well as the preference for sodium chloride water compared to fresh water in sand rats. The sand rats ate the sunflower seeds, the maize and the barley. However, the hazelnuts with shells did not seem to be highly valued by the sand rats [21–25].

Conclusion

As there were no significant differences in the development of diabetes, there are no reasons to withhold species-specific environmental enrichments, like the items tested in this study, for fat sand rats. Based on the results and observations made in this study, a plastic maze/burrow, a 3% salt water solution and sunflower seeds, maize and barley would be excellent choices for adding environmental complexity to the cages of sand rats, allowing the sand rats to express natural behaviours such as exploration and foraging, thereby increasing the welfare of the fat sand rat.

References


Citation: